

POINT SETS AND ALLIED CREMONA GROUPS*

(PART III)

BY

ARTHUR B. COBLE†

INTRODUCTION

In Part I‡ of this series projectively distinct sets P_n^* of n points in S_k were mapped upon points of a space $\Sigma_{k(n-k-2)}$ and a certain Cremona group G_n in Σ was induced by permutation of the points of the set. For P_5^2 and P_6^2 these groups furnished an effective algebraic background for exhibiting solutions of the quintic and sextic equations. In Part II§ the G_n appeared as merely a subgroup of a more important group $G_{n,k}$ in $\Sigma_{k(n-k-2)}$ which also is defined by P_n^* . In particular the $G_{6,2}$ in Σ_4 attached to P_6^2 is a subgroup of the $G_{6,2}$ in Σ_4 , which has the order 51840 and is isomorphic with the group of the lines on a cubic surface.

It is the purpose of this Part III to show first that the lines of a given cubic surface can be determined rationally in terms of a solution of the form problem of $G_{6,2}$; and second that this solution can be obtained in terms of the solution of a form problem which arises in connection with the theta functions.|| The presentation follows a line quite different from that suggested by Klein. A striking difference is that we make no actual or implied use of an equation of degree 27 or other resolvent equation. All of the operations required are effected within the domain of irrational invariants and covariants of the

* Presented in part to the Society, August 3, 1915.

† This investigation has been carried out under the auspices of the Carnegie Institution of Washington, D. C.

‡ These Transactions, vol. 16 (1915).

§ These Transactions, vol. 17 (1916).

|| That an equation of degree 27 for the lines of a cubic surface could be solved by hyperelliptic modular functions was first pointed out by Klein in a letter to Hermite, *Journal de mathématiques*, ser. 4, vol. 4 (1888), p. 169. His suggestions were elaborated by Witting, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 29 (1887), p. 167; by Maschke, *ibid.*, vol. 33 (1889), p. 317; and by Burkhardt, *Grundzüge einer allgemeinen Systematik der hyperelliptischen Functionen I. Ordnung*, *ibid.*, vol. 35 (1890), p. 198, vol. 38 (1891), p. 161, and vol. 41 (1893), p. 313. The latter articles are referred to as BI, BII, and BIII. Since Maschke and Burkhardt respectively have determined complete systems for the so-called "group of the Z's" and "group of the Y's" we shall indicate these groups and the associated form problems by attaching their names.

surface—a domain defined by the adjunction of all the lines considered as comitants of the surface.* Again the method of Klein is based primarily on the existence of the Maschke group and its derived group of line transformations while $G_{6,2}$ leads more naturally to the Burkhardt group.† We are thus enabled to dispense with a separation of the roots of the sextic underlying the theta functions of genus two. Finally by developing a covariant form for the normal hyperelliptic surface certain interesting geometric facts, pertaining to the collineation groups in question, are obtained upon which the solution of their form problems is based.‡

In § 1 the $G_{6,2}$, its generators, invariants, and form problem are discussed, while in § 2 it is shown that the adjunction of a solution of this form problem serves to determine the lines of a given cubic surface. In § 3 the simplest linear system of irrational invariants of the surface is set forth. Under permutation of the lines the members of this system are transformed under the operations of a correlation group which is built up on the Burkhardt collineation group. The form problem of $G_{6,2}$ is solved in § 4 by the adjunction of a solution of the Burkhardt form problem. The facts obtained in § 5 concerning the hyperelliptic surface serve in § 6 as a basis for the solution of the special§ Burkhardt form problem in terms of hyperelliptic modular functions. Finally in § 7 the general Burkhardt form problem is solved in terms of the special problem. The comparison of this determination of the lines of a cubic surface with the solution of a quintic equation detailed in § 8 reveals a remarkable analogy between these two problems—an analogy which furnishes perhaps the best evidence of the value of the methods here employed.

1. THE EXTENDED GROUP $G_{6,2}$

For a given ordered set of 6 points in a plane we choose as in Part I the reference scheme and factors of proportionality so that the coördinates of the points take the form

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 (1) & 1, 0, 0, \quad (4) \quad 1, 1, 1, \\
 (2) & 0, 1, 0, \quad (5) \quad x, y, u, \\
 (3) & 0, 0, 1, \quad (6) \quad z, t, u.
 \end{array}$$

The P_6^2 is then represented by the point P in Σ_4 with coördinates x, y, z, t, u .

* This removes the objection—noted by Burkhardt (BIII, p. 342, 3)—that while the lines are in rational relation they are not in covariant relation to the roots of such an equation. Equations whose roots are irrational invariants of the surface can be formed but the degree of these invariants is too high for practical discussion.

† Since no solution of the Burkhardt form problem has been given hitherto this is supplied below.

‡ The results here obtained indicate some errors in the formulas of Burkhardt; cf. footnotes §§ 4, 5, 6.

§ I. e., the Burkhardt form problem for which $J_4 = 0$.

As the points of P_6^2 are permuted and the points of the re-ordered set transformed again into the form (1) the point P is transformed into its conjugate positions under $G_{6!}$. A set of generators I_{12}, \dots, I_{56} of $G_{6!}$ is given in (2) below where I_{ik} is the involutory operation of $G_{6!}$ which arises from the interchange of the points p_i and p_k of P_6^2 . A quadratic transformation I_{123} with fundamental points (F -points) at p_1, p_2, p_3 and corresponding inverse F -points at p'_1, p'_2, p'_3 transforms p_4, p_5, p_6 into p'_4, p'_5, p'_6 . Then by definition the sets P_6^2 and $P_6'^2$ are *congruent* under I_{123} . If the set $P_6'^2$ be projected into the form (1) the coördinates of its map P' in Σ_4 in terms of those of P are (II, § 3) as given in the table:

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{c} I_{12} \quad I_{23} \quad I_{34} \quad I_{45} \quad I_{56} \quad I_{123} \quad I \\ \begin{array}{l} x' = \\ y' = \\ z' = \\ t' = \\ u' = \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline y & xt & u-x & uy & z & yztu & x+\alpha \\ \hline x & ut & u-y & ux & t & xztu & y+\alpha \\ \hline t & zy & u-z & zy & x & xytu & z+\alpha \\ \hline z & uy & u-t & tx & y & xyzu & t+\alpha \\ \hline u & yt & u & xy & u & xyzt & \beta u \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{zy(x+t-u) - xt(y+z-u)}{xt - yz - u(x+t-y-z)}, \quad \beta = 1 + \alpha \frac{x+t-y-z}{xt - yz}.$$

Here I (the element B of I, § 10) is the involutory transformation determined by associated sets P_6^2 and $P_6'^2$.

We have seen (II, § 3, p. 358) that the $G_{6!}$ generated by I_{12}, \dots, I_{56} together with the I_{123} generate a group $G_{6,2}$ in Σ_4 of order 51840; and further that the 15 involutions I_{ik} , the 20 involutions I_{ijk} , and the involution I constitute a set of 36 conjugate generators of $G_{6,2}$. Those elements of $G_{6,2}$ which can be expressed as a product of an even number of generators lie in the invariant subgroup $\Gamma_{6,2}$ (II, § 7 (51)) of order 25920. To a set of 51840 conjugate points under $G_{6,2}$ in Σ_4 there corresponds in the plane a set of 51840 projectively distinct ordered P_6^2 's such that any one of the P_6^2 's is congruent in some order under ternary Cremona transformation to any other of the P_6^2 's. Disregarding the $6!$ possible orders we have then just 72 projectively distinct P_6^2 's. The 72 P_6^2 's divide under I into 36 pairs of associated P_6^2 's. The original P_6^2 and its associated P_6^2 determine the group $G_{6!,2}$ of I, § 10. The property of congruent P_6^2 's most important for our purpose is

(3) *If the system of cubic curves on P_6^2 can be transformed by ternary Cremona transformation into the system of cubic curves on $P_6'^2$ then the maps of P_6^2 and $P_6'^2$ in Σ_4 are conjugate points under $G_{6,2}$.*

According to I, § 4, we can choose six cubic curves a, \dots, f on P_6^2 such that

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{l} a + \dots + f \equiv 0, \\ \bar{a}a + \dots + \bar{f}f \equiv 0, \\ \bar{a} + \dots + \bar{f} = 0. \end{array}$$

Explicit expressions for $a, \dots, f, \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f}$ in terms of the coördinates of P_6^2 are given there. The plane is thus mapped upon a cubic surface $C^{(3)}$ whose equation is

$$(5) \quad C^{(3)} \equiv a^3 + \dots + f^3 = 0.$$

The equations of the 45 tritangent planes (and thereby also the equations of the 27 lines) of $C^{(3)}$ also are explicitly given in terms of P_6^2 . The above hexahedral form of $C^{(3)}$ is associated with a double six of lines on $C^{(3)}$ and precisely that double six mapped from the six points p_i of P_6^2 and the six conics on points other than p_i ($i = 1, \dots, 6$).

The invariants of the hexahedral $C^{(3)}$ are symmetric polynomials in \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} which are unaltered to within a factor when \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} are replaced by the $\bar{a}', \dots, \bar{f}'$ attached to any other hexahedral form of $C^{(3)}$. The complete system of a cubic surface in S_3 according to Salmon and Clebsch* contains invariants $i_8, i_{16}, i_{24}, i_{32}$, and i_{100} of the degrees indicated and of weights 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, and 75 respectively. The hexahedral surface appears as a section in S_5 (variables a, \dots, f) of a cubic spread with numerical coördinates. The Clebsch transference principle states that the invariants of the section are obtained by bordering the symbolic determinants of the invariant in S_3 by the coördinates of the spaces of section and by applying the determinants to the spread in S_5 . Since the coefficients of the spread in S_5 are merely numerical each unit of weight contributes a unit to the degree in \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} , and the invariants of the hexahedral form are $I_6, I_{12}, I_{18}, I_{24}, I_{30}$, and I_{75} of the degrees indicated in \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} . When \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} are expressed in terms of the x, y, z, t, u of P_6^2 or of the point P in Σ_4 the process of expressing an invariant I in terms of the $\bar{a}', \dots, \bar{f}'$ corresponds to the process of replacing P_6^2 by a congruent $P_6'^2$, or in Σ_4 to the process of passing to a conjugate point P' under $G_{6,2}$. Since the relation of I to $C^{(3)}$ is unaltered by this operation, the corresponding expression for I in terms of x, y, z, t, u must be unaltered to within a factor by the operations of $G_{6,2}$. Hence to the invariants I of weight w of $C^{(3)}$ there correspond invariant spreads I of $G_{6,2}$ in Σ_4 determined to within a power λ^w of an indeterminate factor of proportionality λ . That but one such factor λ can occur is due to the fact that linear systems of invariants can be formed as soon as two invariants appear. Here the invariant I_{75} may be an exception since it cannot lie in a linear system determined by itself and earlier invariants because of its odd degree. This ambiguity in the factor λ can however extend only to the sign since I_{75}^2 is no longer exceptional. Conversely every invariant I of $G_{6,2}$ must arise in this way from some invariant of $C^{(3)}$. By an invariant I of $G_{6,2}$ we mean a form in x, y, z, t, u which is reproduced to within a factor by any operation of $G_{6,2}$. For the coördinates x, y, z, t, u of P are themselves irrational invariants of

* Cf. Pascal; *Repertorium* I, p. 342 (Teubner, 1900).

$C^{(3)*}$ in the projective sense which are rational in the domain obtained by the separation of the lines. The given form is therefore a projective invariant in this domain and, being invariant under the operations of $G_{6,2}$, it must be independent of admissible permutations of the lines and therefore must be rational in the coefficients of $C^{(3)}$. According to I, p. 196, the \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} are of degree two in x, y, z, t, u and we shall find[†] that for $w = 6k$ the factor u^{2k} can be removed from each invariant of weight w , whence

(6) *To the complete system of invariants $i_8, \dots, i_{32}, i_{40}, i_{100}$ of the general $C^{(3)}$ there corresponds the complete system $I_6, \dots, I_{24}, I_{30}, I_{75}$ of the hexahedral $C^{(3)}$, and the complete system $I_{5,2}, \dots, I_{5,8}, I_{5,10}, I_{5,25}$ of spreads of the orders indicated invariant under $G_{6,2}$ in Σ_4 .*

The equation problem determined by the $G_{6,2}$ can now be formulated as follows:

(7) *Given numerical values of $I_{5,2}, I_{5,4}, \dots, I_{5,10}$ to calculate the ratios of the coördinates x, y, z, t, u of a point P in Σ_4 for which the given spreads can take the assigned values.*

From one solution of this problem there can be obtained by the operations of $G_{6,2}$ a set of 51840 solutions. It is not easy to prove directly that no other solutions exist, since these spreads have common manifolds determined by the reference basis in Σ . The fact can be inferred later from the number of solutions of the Burkhardt form problem.

It had been noted by Klein (loc. cit.) that the adjunction of the square root of the discriminant Δ of $C^{(3)}$ reduced the order of the group of the lines to 25920. Evidently Δ is the most convenient fourth invariant and we shall suppose hereafter that i_{32}, I_{24} , or $I_{5,8}$, as the case may be, is Δ . The $\sqrt{\Delta}$ can be calculated explicitly in terms of the \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} without much trouble. The surface when mapped by means of P_6^2 has a double point when either six points are on a conic, or three points are on a line, or two points coincide in some direction. Thus Δ has $1 + 20 + 15 = 36$ irrational factors which will be indicated respectively by $\delta, \delta_{ijk}, \delta_{ij}$. Recalling the notation of I, pp. 170-3, we have $\delta = d_2$ and $\delta_{123} \delta_{456} = (\bar{a} + \bar{b} + \bar{c}) = -(\bar{d} + \bar{e} + \bar{f})$, etc. In order that a rational expression in \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} may be obtained we must use $d_2^2 = a_2^2 - 4a_4$ and therefore must use the squares of the factors δ_{ijk} as well. This raises the product of δ^2 and the ten squares δ_{ijk}^2 to the proper degree, 24, of Δ in \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} so that the factors δ_{ij} do not occur explicitly. This is to be expected since coincidence in a given direction cannot be expressed by a single condition on the coördinates of P_6^2 . A definite sign can be given to $\sqrt{\Delta}$ by the assumption

$$(8) \quad \sqrt{\Delta} = d_2 \prod_{10} (\bar{a} + i + j),$$

* E. g., if $x = 0$, points p_2, p_3, p_5 are collinear and $C^{(3)}$ has a node.

† Cf. § 3.

where the sign of d_2 is defined in I, § 4 (47), and where i, j run over the 10 pairs drawn from \bar{b}, \dots, \bar{f} . The product Π can be conveniently evaluated by symmetrizing successively for 3, 4, \dots , 6 letters. If in terms of a_2, \dots, a_6 , the elementary symmetric functions of \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} , we set

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} q_4 &= a_2^2 - 4a_4, & q_5 &= a_2 a_3 - 2a_5, \\ I_6^* &= 3a_3^2 - 4a_2 q_4 - 12a_6, \end{aligned}$$

then $\sqrt{\Delta}$ takes the form

$$(10) \quad \sqrt{\Delta} = d_2 (q_4 I_6 + 4a_2 q_4^2 - 3q_5^2).$$

We have seen (I, p. 196) that d_2 changes sign under the involution I_{12} while \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} and therefore $a_2, \dots, a_6, q_4, q_5, I_6$ do not; whence $\sqrt{\Delta}$ changes sign under the operations of $G_{6,2}$ not contained in $\Gamma_{6,2}$ and is invariant under $\Gamma_{6,2}$.

The skew invariant, i_{100} or I_{75} , has the same behavior under $G_{6,2}$ and $\Gamma_{6,2}$ as $\sqrt{\Delta}$. This invariant has 45 irrational factors. For if we recall from I, p. 197, that $\bar{a} - \bar{d} = 0$ is the condition that the lines $\overline{12}, \overline{34}, \overline{56}$ in S_2 meet in a point we find 15 similar irrational invariants whose product is there denoted by \sqrt{d} . If then we carry out on $\bar{a} - \bar{d} = 0$ the involution I_{123} it must be transformed into the condition that the conic on p_1, p_2, p_5, p_6 is touched at p_3 by the line $\overline{34}$. This condition is

$$(11) \quad j_{1256,3} = \begin{vmatrix} \overline{126} & \overline{356} & \overline{136} & \overline{256} \\ \overline{123} & \overline{354} & \overline{134} & \overline{253} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Thus $j_{1256,3}$ is of degree 2, 2, 3, 1, 2, 2 in the points in order. The product of the 30 irrational invariants of this type is of degree 60 in each of the six points; let us call it f_{60} . It is easy to verify that, while $j_{1256,3}$ is changed in sign by I_{12} , yet f_{60} is unaltered. Since f_{60} does not contain the factor d_2 it must (cf. I (49)) be a rational integral function of a_2, \dots, a_6 . Hence

$$(12) \quad I_{75} = \sqrt{d} \cdot f_{60}$$

is changed in sign along with \sqrt{d} by the involution I_{12} and therefore is an exception to the rule that invariants of $C^{(3)}$ are invariants under $G_{6,2}$.

The above identification of $\sqrt{d} \cdot f_{60}$ with I_{75} which is indicated by their degrees can be substantiated by their geometric interpretation. The vanishing of I_{75} is the condition that $C^{(3)}$ be unaltered by an involutory collineation. On the other hand if $\bar{a} - \bar{d} = 0$ then (cf. I (16)) P_6^2 is self-associated in the order (12)(34)(56), the net of cubics on P_6^2 is transformed into itself by the corresponding Cremona involution of order 5, and the cubic surface admits an involutory collineation.

(13) *A complete system of invariants for $\Gamma_{6,2}$ consists of $I_6, I_{12}, \sqrt{\Delta}, I_{18}, I_{30}$, and I_{75} .*

* We shall verify later (§ 3 (38)) that this is the first invariant of $C^{(3)}$.

The degrees of all the invariants of $\Gamma_{6,2}$ which we shall have occasion to use hereafter are such that I_{75} cannot occur in their expressions. The form problem for the group $\Gamma_{6,2}$ reads as follows:

(14) *Given the numerical values of $I_{5,2}$, $I_{5,4}$, $\sqrt{\Delta}$, $I_{5,6}$, and $I_{5,10}$ to calculate the ratios of the coördinates of a point P in Σ_4 for which these spreads can take the assigned values.*

Clearly the adjunction of $\sqrt{\Delta}$ suffices to reduce the group $G_{6,2}$ to the group $\Gamma_{6,2}$. For the linear system $\lambda I_6^2 + \mu I_{12} + \nu \sqrt{\Delta}$ is invariant under $\Gamma_{6,2}$ but not under $G_{6,2}$. Hence if the form problem of $G_{6,2}$ has 51840 solutions, that of $\Gamma_{6,2}$ has 25920 solutions, and vice versa.

2. DETERMINATION OF THE LINES OF A CUBIC SURFACE IN TERMS OF THE FORM PROBLEM OF $G_{6,2}$

If $(cx)^3$ is a general quaternary cubic form the cubic surface $C^{(3)}$ is $(cx)^3 = 0$. We seek the 27 sets of six line coördinates π_{ik} determined by any pair of planes on each of the 27 lines of $C^{(3)}$. Such pairs can be selected from the 45 tritangent planes of $C^{(3)}$ so that we shall merely need expressions $(t_i x) = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, 45$) for these planes.

We shall assume first that for the given form $(cx)^3$ a series of covariant processes has been outlined, following some one of the known complete systems of the cubic surface, which will furnish definite values for the invariants $i_8, i_{16}, i_{24}, i_{32}$, and i_{40} of $C^{(3)}$ and definite expressions, $(l_{11} x), (l_{19} x), (l_{27} x)$, and $(l_{43} x)$ for the linear covariants of $C^{(3)}$.

In any one of 36 ways the given form $(cx)^3$ can be expressed in Cremona's hexahedral form (4). We shall assume second that through the use of the Clebsch transference principle which does not affect covariant relations, the same series of covariant processes has been carried out on the Cremona form and that there has been obtained explicit expressions in terms of \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} of the corresponding invariants and linear covariants, viz: $I_6, I_{12}, I_{18}, I_{24}, I_{30}$, and $(L_8 a), (L_{14} a), (L_{20} a), (L_{32} a)$ of the degrees in \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} indicated by the subscripts. The two investigations here assumed have of course a wider range of application than we shall need. The first is in quite satisfactory shape. The second has been begun* and if completed would afford a method for settling all questions concerning the relation of the lines and tritangent planes of $C^{(3)}$ to the covariant system of $C^{(3)}$.

If the coefficients of $(cx)^3$ and \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} be known then the linear transformation

$$\begin{aligned} (l_{11} x) &= (L_8 a), & (l_{19} x) &= (L_{14} a), \\ (15) \quad (l_{27} x) &= (L_{20} a), & (l_{43} x) &= (L_{32} a), \\ 0 &= (\bar{a}a), & 0 &= (a), \end{aligned}$$

* Sousley, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 38 (1916).

furnishes the typical representation of the given cubic surface in the hexahedral form. The determinant of the four linear forms in x of (15) is i_{100} ; of the six linear forms in \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} is I_{75} .

The processes involved in the determination of the 45 tritangent planes of the given cubic surface $C^{(3)}$ can now be outlined as follows:

1°. For the given $C^{(3)} \equiv (cx)^3 = 0$, the invariants i_8, \dots, i_{40} and the linear covariants $(l_{11} x), \dots, (l_{43} x)$ are calculated.

2°. The values of i_8, \dots, i_{40} furnish the values of the known quantities I_6, \dots, I_{30} of the equation problem of $G_{6,2}$. We assume that this problem has been solved and that therefore the coördinates x, y, z, t, u of a point P in Σ_4 are known.

3°. These coördinates substituted in (1) furnish a set P_6^2 by means of which the given $C^{(3)}$ can be mapped upon S_2 . The values of \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} and d_2 in terms of these coördinates are given in I (35), (47).

4°. From the values of \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} and d_2 the linear covariants $(L_8 a), \dots, (L_{32} a)$ of (15), and the 45 tritangent planes as given in I (47) are expressed linearly in terms of a, \dots, f .

5°. From equations (15) the values of a, \dots, f as linear functions of x are obtained.

6°. These values of a, \dots, f set in the equations of the 45 tritangent planes furnish the equations of the tritangent planes of the given surface $(cx)^3 = 0$ in terms of the given variables x .

The above procedure is effective whenever the equations (15) are such that step 5° can be carried out. We have therefore a case of exception when $i_{100} = I_{75} = 0$. In this case however the Galois group of the problem is reduced at least to a desmic group of order 2·576 and the lines of $C^{(3)}$ can be expressed by means of radicals alone.

Since any solution of the equation problem of $\Gamma_{6,2}$ is equally well a solution of that of $G_{6,2}$, all the operations outlined above can be carried out after the adjunction of $\sqrt{\Delta}$ has reduced the group of the problem to $\Gamma_{6,2}$ of order 25920. We are now enabled to dispense with the originally given surface $C^{(3)}$ and to consider further only those processes which are necessary for the solution of the equation problem of $\Gamma_{6,2}$.

3. IRRATIONAL INVARIANTS OF $C^{(3)}$

In this paragraph certain systems of irrational invariants of $C^{(3)}$ are built up from the irrational factors of its discriminant. They correspond to the separation of the lines of the surface into double sixes, into the so-called "complexes" of lines, and into the triads of lines in a tritangent plane. Of these three sorts the first do not give rise to irrational invariants of P_6^2 as defined in I, § 3, for their expressions in terms of the coördinates of P_6^2 are not

homogeneous and of the same degree in the coördinates of each point. But the last two sorts are irrational invariants of P_6^2 and they lie in the simplest linear system of spreads invariant under the extended group $G_{6,2}$ of P_6^2 . The operations of $G_{6,2}$ when carried out on this linear system give rise to a collineation group of order 51840 which we shall identify with a group of correlations in S_4 which has the Burkhardt group for its collineation subgroup.

Let us denote the lines of $C^{(3)}$ by $l_1, \dots, l_6; m_1, \dots, m_6; l_{12}, \dots, l_{56}$ according as they correspond in the plane of P_6^2 to respectively the directions about the points p_1, \dots, p_6 ; the conics on the six points other than p_1, \dots, p_6 ; and the lines

$$(12x) = 0, \quad \dots, \quad (56x) = 0.$$

In the above notation a double six is isolated and the 36 double sixes divide into three sets

$$\begin{aligned} D &\equiv \left\{ l_1, l_2, \dots, l_6 \right\}, \\ D_{lmn} &\equiv \left\{ l_{jk}, l_{ik}, l_{ij}, l_l, l_m, l_n \right\}, \\ D_{ij} &\equiv \left\{ l_i, m_i, l_{jk}, l_{jl}, l_{jm}, l_{jn} \right\}, \\ &\quad (i, j, k, \dots, n = 1, 2, \dots, 6). \end{aligned}$$

In the plane, D_{lmn} arises from D by using the quadratic transformation A_{ijk} ; while D_{ij} arises from D by using the cubic transformation with double F -point at p_j and simple F -points at p_k, \dots, p_n . The double six D has 6 lines in common with each of the 20 double sixes D_{lmn} and 4 lines in common with each of the 15 double sixes D_{ij} . Two double sixes form an *azygetic* or *syzygetic duad* according as they have 6 or 4 lines in common. The typical azygetic duads are:

$$D, D_{ijk}; \quad D_{ijk}, D_{lmn}; \quad D_{ijk}, D_{ijl}; \quad D_{ijk}, D_{il}; \quad D_{ij}, D_{ik};$$

the typical syzygetic duads are:

$$D, D_{ij}; \quad D_{ijk}, D_{ilm}; \quad D_{ijk}, D_{lm}; \quad D_{ijk}, D_{ij}; \quad D_{ij}, D_{kl}.*$$

* The terms azygetic and syzygetic are taken from the finite geometry mod 2 ($p = 3$) of the theta functions (cf. II, p. 357). If of the 28 O quadrics one, say $Q_{\sigma\tau}$, be isolated then the 36 points $P_{\sigma\tau}, P_{\sigma ijk} = P_{lmn\tau}, P_{ij}$ which are not on this quadric can be associated with the double sixes while the 27 points $P_{\sigma i}, P_{\tau i}$, and $P_{ij\sigma\tau}$ which are on the quadric can be associated with the 27 lines of $C^{(3)}$. Through each point outside the quadric there pass 6 secants of the quadric. These are ordinary lines of the null system determined by the quadric. Two points are syzygetic or azygetic according as they do or do not lie on a null line. Thus each outside point (or double six) determines 6 pairs of points on $Q_{\sigma\tau}$ (line pair of $C^{(3)}$) and these 6 pairs lie in two sets of 6 for each point is azygetic with all of its own set and one of the other set. Any two outside points may be syzygetic or azygetic. In the first case their join touches the quadric at a point; in the second case their join is skew to the quadric and the three points on it correspond to an azygetic triad of double sixes.

Two azygetic double sixes determine a third such that any two of the three are azygetic. There are 120 such *azygetic triads* whose typical forms are:

$$D, D_{ijk}, D_{lmn}; \quad D_{ijk}, D_{ijl}, D_{kl}; \quad D_{ij}, D_{ik}, D_{jk}.$$

No line is common to the three double sixes of an azygetic triad so that the triad contains 18 lines each appearing in two double sixes and omits 9 lines.

The double sixes which are syzygetic with the members of an azygetic triad lie in two other azygetic triads such that double sixes from any two of the triads are syzygetic. Such a symmetrical set of three azygetic triads is a *complex*. The 9 lines omitted from each triad of a complex make up the 27 lines. There are 40 such complexes; 10 of the form

$$\Gamma_{ijk, lmn} \equiv D, D_{ijk}, D_{lmn}; \quad D_{ij}, D_{ik}, D_{jk}; \quad D_{lm}, D_{ln}, D_{mn};$$

and 30 of the form

$$\Gamma_{ij, kl, mn} \equiv D_{ij}, D_{ikl}, D_{jkl}; \quad D_{kl}, D_{kmn}, D_{lmn}; \quad D_{mn}, D_{mij}, D_{nij}.$$

The last type depends upon the separation of i, \dots, n into three pairs and upon the cyclic arrangement of the pairs.*

We have noted in § 1 that there are 36 particular types of sets P_6^2 for which $C^{(3)}$ has a double point, each type being associated with a double six. The six lines of half the isolated double six map into the six lines of $C^{(3)}$ on the double point and the six lines of the other half coincide and map into directions at the double point. The 36 corresponding irrational factors of the discriminant Δ of $C^{(3)}$ have been denoted by $\delta, \delta_{lmn}, \delta_{ij}$. The vanishing of each of these factors can be expressed by explicit conditions on the coördinates of P_6^2 except for the factors of type δ_{ij} which indicate a coincidence of the points p_i and p_j .† We shall now set forth a set of 40 irrational invariants of $C^{(3)}$ which correspond to the 40 complexes defined above.

If we consider the product

$$(16) \quad \gamma_{123, 456} = d_2 \cdot (123)(456)$$

we see that it is of degree 3 in the coördinates of each point of P_6^2 ; that it vanishes at least once for each coincidence δ_{ij} ; that it vanishes twice for the coincidences $\delta_{12}, \delta_{13}, \delta_{23}; \delta_{45}, \delta_{46}, \delta_{56}$; and that it vanishes with the factors δ, δ_{123} , and δ_{456} of Δ . It corresponds therefore to the product of the 9 discriminant factors associated with the 9 double sixes in the complex $\Gamma_{123, 456}$. In order to derive the remaining nine "complex invariants" of this type

* All of these configurations of lines are well known; cf. Pascal, loc. cit., II, pp. 284-90.

† If P_6^2 is taken in the canonical form (1) the same exception applies to $\delta_{234}, \delta_{134}, \delta_{124}$, and δ_{123} . A closer study of the invariants of $G_{6, 2}$ in Σ_4 would reveal the singular manifolds which correspond to these factors of Δ as well as to factors of type δ_{ij} . This information however is not necessary for our purposes.

by permutation of the points* we shall complete the definition (16) by the further requirements:

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_{ijk, lmn} &= \gamma_{jik, lmn} = \gamma_{jki, lmn} \\ &= \gamma_{ijk, mln} = \gamma_{ijk, mnl} = -\gamma_{lmn, ijk}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the ten complex invariants of the first type are

TABLE I

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{123, 456} &= d_2(123)(456), & \gamma_{125, 463} &= d_2(125)(463), \\ \gamma_{134, 562} &= d_2(134)(562), & \gamma_{136, 524} &= d_2(136)(524), \\ \gamma_{145, 623} &= d_2(145)(623), & \gamma_{142, 635} &= d_2(142)(635), \\ \gamma_{156, 234} &= d_2(156)(234), & \gamma_{153, 246} &= d_2(153)(246), \\ \gamma_{162, 345} &= d_2(162)(345), & \gamma_{164, 352} &= d_2(164)(352). \end{aligned}$$

From equations I (34) we get at once the values of the $\gamma_{ijk, lmn}$ in terms of $d_2, \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f}$.

Consider again the product

$$(18) \quad \gamma_{ij, kl, mn} = (ikl)(jkl)(kmn)(lmn)(mij)(nij).$$

It also is of degree 3 in the coördinates of each point of P_6^2 ; it vanishes at least once for every coincidence; it vanishes twice for the coincidences δ_{ij} , δ_{kl} , and δ_{mn} ; it vanishes along with the six factors δ_{ikl} , etc., of Δ ; and it therefore corresponds as above to the complex $\Gamma_{ij, kl, mn}$. Its definition is completed by the further requirements (which accord with permutations of the points of P_6^2):

$$(19) \quad \gamma_{ij, kl, mn} = \gamma_{kl, mn, ij} = \gamma_{ji, kl, mn} \mp \gamma_{ij, mn, kl}.$$

Hence there are 30 complex invariants of this type which occur in 15 pairs.

In order to get explicit expressions for them in terms of $d_2, \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f}$ consider the particular pair $\gamma_{12, 34, 56}$ and $\gamma_{12, 56, 34}$. If in these we substitute respectively for (134)(356) and (256)(412) their values (315)(364) + (316)(345) and (264)(215) + (245)(216) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{12, 34, 56} &= (364)(512) \cdot (234)(456)(612)(315) \\ &\quad + (345)(612) \cdot (234)(456)(512)(316), \\ \gamma_{12, 56, 34} &= (364)(512) \cdot (156)(312)(534)(264) \\ &\quad + (345)(612) \cdot (156)(132)(634)(245). \end{aligned}$$

* We use here the parallel generating permutations of I (28), viz.:

$$(12), (23456); (\bar{a}\bar{d})(\bar{b}\bar{e})(\bar{c}\bar{f}), (\bar{a}\bar{d}\bar{b}\bar{f}\bar{e}),$$

an odd permutation requiring also a change of sign in d_2 .

From I (46) and (47) we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (234)(456)(612)(315) & \begin{array}{c} + \\ - \end{array} (156)(312)(534)(264) = \begin{array}{c} \bar{d}\bar{e} \\ -d_2 \end{array}, \\
 (234)(456)(512)(316) & \begin{array}{c} + \\ - \end{array} (156)(132)(634)(245) = \begin{array}{c} -\bar{a}\bar{c} \\ -d_2 \end{array}. \\
 (20) \quad \therefore \gamma_{12, 34, 56} - \gamma_{12, 56, 34} &= -d_2[(364)(512) + (345)(612)] \\
 &= d_2(\bar{d} - \bar{a}). \\
 \gamma_{12, 34, 56} + \gamma_{12, 56, 34} &= (\bar{a} + \bar{c} + \bar{e})\bar{d}\bar{e} + (\bar{d} + \bar{c} + \bar{e})\bar{a}\bar{c} \\
 &= (\bar{a} + \bar{c} + \bar{e})(a_2 + 2\bar{d}^2 + 2\bar{e}^2 + 2\bar{d}\bar{e}) \\
 &\quad + (\bar{d} + \bar{c} + \bar{e})(a_2 + 2\bar{a}^2 + 2\bar{c}^2 + 2\bar{a}\bar{c}) \\
 &= -a_2(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) - 2(\bar{a}^3 + \bar{d}^3) + 2[a_2\sigma_1 + \Sigma\bar{a}^3 + \Sigma\bar{a}^2\bar{d} + \sigma_3] \\
 &= -a_2(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) - 2(\bar{a}^3 + \bar{d}^3) + 2[a_2\sigma_1 + \sigma_1^3 - 2\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \sigma_3],
 \end{aligned}$$

where the Σ 's refer to symmetric functions and the σ 's to elementary symmetric functions of \bar{a} , \bar{c} , \bar{d} , \bar{e} . But from

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{b} + \bar{f} &= -\sigma_1, \quad \bar{b}\bar{f} + (\bar{b} + \bar{f})\sigma_1 = a_2 - \sigma_2, \\
 \bar{b}\bar{f}\sigma_1 + (\bar{b} + \bar{f})\sigma_2 &= a_3 - \sigma_3
 \end{aligned}$$

we get by eliminating $\bar{b} + \bar{f}$ and $\bar{b}\bar{f}$ the relation

$$a_2\sigma_1 + \sigma_1^3 - 2\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - a_3 = 0.$$

$$\therefore \gamma_{12, 34, 56} + \gamma_{12, 56, 34} = 2a_3 - a_2(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) - 2(\bar{a}^3 + \bar{d}^3).$$

If now we introduce the new set of six quantities $\bar{\alpha}$, \dots , $\bar{\zeta}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (21) \quad \bar{\alpha} &= a_3 - a_2\bar{a} - 2\bar{a}^3, \\
 &\quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \\
 \bar{\zeta} &= a_3 - a_2\bar{f} - 2\bar{f}^3,
 \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy the relation

$$(22) \quad \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma} + \bar{\delta} + \bar{\epsilon} + \bar{\zeta} = 0,$$

then

$$\gamma_{12, 34, 56} + \gamma_{12, 56, 34} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\delta}.\dagger$$

* The formulæ used here are derived from those quoted by proper permutation.

† These six values which serve so well for the expression of the complex invariants have another interesting contact. If \bar{a} , \dots , \bar{f} are the roots of a sextic then (21) is the Tschirnhausen transformation to a sextic with roots $\bar{\alpha}$, \dots , $\bar{\zeta}$. If $d_2 = 0$, i. e., if $a_2^2 - 4a_4 = 0$, the first sextic is the sextic of Maschke and the transformed sextic is that of Joubert. For it is easy to verify that $\Sigma\bar{\alpha}^3 = 9.(a_2^2 - 4a_4)(a_2a_3 - 2a_5) = q_4q_5$. From this point of view the transformation (21) has been used in an earlier paper—Coble, *An application of Moore's cross-ratio group*, etc.; these Transactions, vol. 12 (1911), p. 323, (32); cited hereafter as C1.

Combining this with (20) we get values of $\gamma_{12, 34, 56}$ and $\gamma_{12, 56, 34}$ and by permutation obtain the values of the 30 complex invariants of the second type. These are collected in

TABLE II*

$$\begin{aligned} 2\gamma_{12, 34, 56} &= \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\delta} - d_2(\bar{a} - \bar{d}), \\ 2\gamma_{12, 56, 34} &= \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\delta} + d_2(\bar{a} - \bar{d}), \\ &\cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \end{aligned}$$

The 40 complex invariants are connected by many relations. We see at once that the 10 invariants in Table I are connected by 15 relations of the type:

$$1^\circ. \quad \gamma_{123, 456} + \gamma_{124, 356} + \gamma_{134, 256} + \gamma_{234, 156} = 0.$$

The complexes which correspond to the four terms are those which contain the syzygetic duad of double sixes D, D_{56} . Corresponding therefore to the four other types of syzygetic duads listed above we have respectively four other types of relations:

$$2^\circ. \quad \gamma_{23, 15, 64} + \gamma_{12, 35, 64} - \gamma_{42, 65, 13} - \gamma_{62, 45, 13} = 0,$$

$$3^\circ. \quad \gamma_{456, 123} + \gamma_{45, 61, 23} + \gamma_{45, 62, 31} + \gamma_{45, 63, 12} = 0,$$

$$4^\circ. \quad \gamma_{123, 456} + \gamma_{23, 14, 56} + \gamma_{31, 24, 56} + \gamma_{12, 34, 56} = 0,$$

$$5^\circ. \quad \gamma_{12, 34, 56} - \gamma_{12, 56, 34} + \gamma_{156, 234} - \gamma_{134, 256} = 0.$$

These 270 linear relations can be verified by using the above five typical ones in connection with Tables I-II.

Not more than 10 of the 40 complex invariants are linearly independent, for they are expressed above in terms of $d_2 \bar{a}, \dots, d_2 \bar{f}, \bar{\alpha}, \dots, \bar{\zeta}$ where $d_2 \sum \bar{a} = 0$ and $\sum \bar{\alpha} = 0$. That precisely 10 are independent can be verified by computing $d_2(\bar{a} - \bar{b}), \dots, d_2(\bar{a} - \bar{f}), \bar{\alpha} - \bar{\beta}, \dots, \bar{\alpha} - \bar{\zeta}$, and checking their independence.

The group $G_{6, 2}$ is generated by transpositions of the points and by the element A_{123} . The effect of the transpositions upon the complex invariants can be inferred from the subscript notation in their definitions (16), (17) and (18), (19). The effect of the quadratic transformation A_{123} upon the double sixes and the complexes is easily given. The element A_{123} can at most permute the complex invariants to within outstanding factors. It must however transform the identities $1^\circ, \dots, 5^\circ$ into identities. If then this outstanding factor is taken to be unity for some one invariant the value of the factor for other invariants can be inferred from the fact that identities involving this one invariant must be transformed into other identities. In

* The table can be completed so readily that it is not given here in full. However a complete table is necessary for checking some of the relations given below.

this way the effect of the involution A_{123} upon the double sixes, the complexes, and the complex invariants is found to be:

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_{123}), (D, D_{456}), (D_{124}, D_{34}), (D_{145}), (D_{12}), (D_{56}); \\ (23) & (\Gamma_{123, 456}), (\Gamma_{124, 356}, \Gamma_{12, 34, 56}), (\Gamma_{14, 25, 36}, \Gamma_{16, 35, 24}), (\Gamma_{12, 56, 34}); \\ & (\gamma_{123, 456}), (\gamma_{124, 356}, \gamma_{12, 34, 56}), (\gamma_{14, 25, 36}, \gamma_{16, 35, 24}), (\gamma_{12, 56, 34}). \end{aligned}$$

Of course in these permutations (23) only typical cycles with reference to the division 123, 456 are given. The permutation of the D 's is even while that of the Γ 's is odd.

The complex invariants when expressed in terms of the coördinates x, y, z, t, u of P in Σ_4 lie in a linear system of dimension 9 of spreads invariant under $G_{6, 2}$. Moreover they constitute the simplest linear system of this sort. For a member of such a system invariant under G_6 must be expressible in terms of \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} . These are quadrics (I, p. 196) on the canonical basis of Σ_4 . On the other hand a spread invariant under A_{123} must be of order $5k$ with $3k$ -fold points at the reference basis in Σ_4 . The simplest way to reconcile these requirements is to take functions of the third degree in \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} from which u factors out. Since d_2 contains the factor u the invariants of Table I have this factor. The factor u appears also in (156), (256), (125), (126) and every complex invariant of the type (18) must contain at least one of these factors. The word "simplest" as used above means of lowest degree in the coördinates of P_6^2 .

(24) *The 40 complex invariants satisfy a set of 270 four termed linear relations by means of which they reduce to 10 linearly independent invariants. The latter determine the simplest linear system of irrational invariants of $C^{(3)}$ and, as quintic spreads in Σ_4 , the simplest linear system invariant under $G_{6, 2}$. If Σ_4 be mapped upon an S_9 by means of this linear system $G_{6, 2}$ appears in S_9 as a collineation group γ_{51840} .*

In order to prove that the group γ_{51840} in S_9 has an invariant pair of skew S_4 's we shall derive two new sets each containing 45 irrational "tritangent" invariants. A syzygetic n -ad of double sixes is a set of n double sixes such that any two are syzygetic. The typical forms of the syzygetic tetrads are

$$D, D_{ij}, D_{kl}, D_{mn}; \quad D_{ijk}, D_{ilm}, D_{njl}, D_{nkm}; \quad D_{ij}, D_{kl}, D_{ijm}, D_{klm};$$

there being 15, 30, 90 respectively of each kind. The syzygetic duads and triads can all be picked from these tetrads. The 135 syzygetic tetrads divide into 45 sets T of three such that, in any set of three, double sixes from different tetrads are azygetic. Any tetrad lies in but one set T since the 8 double sixes in the other two tetrads of T comprise all that are azygetic with the four

members of the given tetrad. The typical forms of the sets T are

$$T_{ij, kl, mn} \equiv D, D_{ij}, D_{kl}, D_{mn}; \quad D_{ikm}, D_{iln}, D_{jkn}, D_{jlm};$$

$$D_{jkm}, D_{jln}, D_{ikn}, D_{ikm};$$

$$T_{ijkl, m} \equiv D_{ij}, D_{kl}, D_{ijm}, D_{klm}; \quad D_{ik}, D_{jl}, D_{ikm}, D_{jlm};$$

$$D_{il}, D_{jk}, D_{ilm}, D_{jkm};$$

there being 15 of the first kind and 30 of the second kind. The 48 lines of $C^{(3)}$ in a syzygetic tetrad consist of 24 lines each occurring twice, so that three lines of $C^{(3)}$ in a *tritangent plane* are omitted from a tetrad and the same three lines are omitted from each of the tetrads in T , whence the sets T correspond to the tritangent planes.

A syzygetic triad can be enlarged in but one way into a syzygetic tetrad, whence there are $135 \cdot 4$ syzygetic triads. The four complexes in each of the 270 relations $1^\circ, \dots, 5^\circ$ each have a common syzygetic duad, whence all the relations are conjugate. We see from 1° that any two complexes in such a relation have a common syzygetic triad, whence any two terms must occur in three relations. The two complementary pairs drawn from a relation determine two syzygetic triads drawn from the same tetrad, whence given a tetrad the four triads formed from it determine four pairs of terms and by equating these pairs of terms six relations are obtained. Thus the 135 tetrads determine $135 \cdot 6$ relations, but each is obtained three times, corresponding to its three pairs of pairs of terms.

Let us select the particular set $T_{12, 34, 56}$ and write down for each of its tetrads the four equal pairs of terms. We find with the help of Table I and II that

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(\bar{d} - \bar{a}) &= \gamma_{12, 34, 56} - \gamma_{12, 56, 34} = \gamma_{134, 256} - \gamma_{156, 234} \\ &= \gamma_{356, 412} - \gamma_{312, 456} = \gamma_{512, 634} - \gamma_{534, 612}; \\ (25) \quad \frac{1}{2}[\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\delta} + d_2(\bar{a} - \bar{d})] &= \gamma_{13, 46, 25} - \gamma_{16, 35, 24} = \gamma_{14, 35, 26} - \gamma_{15, 46, 23} \\ &= \gamma_{15, 24, 36} - \gamma_{13, 26, 45} = \gamma_{16, 23, 45} - \gamma_{14, 25, 63}; \\ \frac{1}{2}[\bar{\delta} - \bar{\alpha} + d_2(\bar{a} - \bar{d})] &= \gamma_{14, 36, 25} - \gamma_{16, 45, 23} = \gamma_{13, 45, 26} - \gamma_{15, 36, 24} \\ &= \gamma_{15, 23, 46} - \gamma_{14, 26, 35} = \gamma_{16, 24, 35} - \gamma_{13, 25, 64}. \end{aligned}$$

The sum of these three "tetrad invariants" is zero and we form from them as follows the pair of "tritangent invariants":

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\rho - \rho^2)t_{12, 34, 56} &= 2[d_2(\bar{d} - \bar{a})] + \rho[\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\delta} + d_2(\bar{a} - \bar{d})] \\ &\quad + \rho^2[\bar{\delta} - \bar{\alpha} + d_2(\bar{a} - \bar{d})], \\ (26) \quad 2(\rho^2 - \rho)\tau_{12, 34, 56} &= 2[d_2(\bar{d} - \bar{a})] + \rho^2[\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\delta} + d_2(\bar{a} - \bar{d})] \\ &\quad + \rho[\bar{\delta} - \bar{\alpha} + d_2(\bar{a} - \bar{d})], \\ \rho &= e^{2\pi i/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Both $t_{12, 34, 56}$ and $\tau_{12, 34, 56}$ contain the factor $\bar{d} - \bar{a}$ since

$$(27) \quad \bar{\alpha} - \bar{\delta} = (\bar{d} - \bar{a}) \bar{ad},$$

and both vanish when the three lines of their corresponding tritangent plane of $C^{(3)}$ meet in a point.

In order to obtain the second type of tritangent invariant we carry out on the above type the transformation A_{123} and interchange t, τ and ρ, ρ^2 . Then $T_{12, 34, 56}$ becomes $T_{1256, 4}$ and the three tetrad invariants associated with $T_{1256, 4}$ become

$$\gamma_{124, 356} - \gamma_{12, 56, 34}, \quad \gamma_{16, 23, 45} + \gamma_{16, 35, 24}, \quad -\gamma_{15, 34, 26} - \gamma_{135, 246}.$$

These have the values

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} [\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\delta} + d_2(\bar{b} + \bar{c} - \bar{e} - \bar{f})], \\ & -\frac{1}{2} [\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma} + d_2(\bar{e} + \bar{f} - \bar{a} - \bar{d})], \\ & -\frac{1}{2} [\bar{\epsilon} + \bar{\zeta} + d_2(\bar{a} + \bar{d} - \bar{b} - \bar{c})]. \end{aligned}$$

As we should expect their sum is zero. With multipliers $1, \rho, \rho^2$ respectively we form $(\rho^2 - \rho) \tau_{1256, 4}$; and with multipliers $1, \rho^2, \rho$ we form $(\rho - \rho^2) t_{1256, 4}$. Then

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} 2t_{1256, 4} &= [-\rho(\beta + \bar{\gamma}) + \rho^2(\bar{\epsilon} + \bar{\zeta}) - d_2(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) \\ &\quad - \rho^2 d_2(\bar{b} + \bar{c}) - \rho d_2(\bar{e} + \bar{f})], \\ 2\tau_{1256, 4} &= [-\rho^2(\bar{\beta} + \bar{\gamma}) + \rho(\bar{\epsilon} + \bar{\zeta}) - d_2(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) \\ &\quad - \rho d_2(\bar{b} + \bar{c}) - \rho^2 d_2(\bar{e} + \bar{f})]. \end{aligned}$$

We shall now make an important *change of variables*. Let

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} x_a &= \bar{\alpha} + (\rho - \rho^2) d_2 \bar{a}, & \dots, & & x_f &= \bar{\zeta} + (\rho - \rho^2) d_2 \bar{f}, \\ u_a &= \bar{\alpha} + (\rho^2 - \rho) d_2 \bar{a}, & \dots, & & u_f &= \bar{\zeta} + (\rho^2 - \rho) d_2 \bar{f}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the two types of tritangent invariants and the three types of complex invariants take the following very simple forms:

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} 2t_{12, 34, 56} &= x_a - x_d, \\ 2\tau_{12, 34, 56} &= u_a - u_d. \end{aligned}$$

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} 2t_{1256, 4} &= -\rho(x_b + x_c) + \rho^2(x_e + x_f), \\ 2\tau_{1256, 4} &= -\rho^2(u_b + u_c) + \rho(u_e + u_f). \end{aligned}$$

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} 2(\rho - \rho^2) \gamma_{123, 456} &= x_a + x_b + x_c - u_a - u_b - u_c, \\ 2(\rho - \rho^2) \gamma_{12, 34, 56} &= \rho x_a - \rho^2 x_d - \rho^2 u_a + \rho u_d, \\ 2(\rho - \rho^2) \gamma_{12, 56, 34} &= -\rho^2 x_a + \rho x_d + \rho u_a - \rho^2 u_d. \end{aligned}$$

The new variables are subject to the relations

$$(34) \quad x_a + \cdots + x_f = 0, \quad u_a + \cdots + u_f = 0.$$

Their permutations under the operations of G_{61} are evident at once from (30). In order to determine the effect upon them of the element A_{123} we need only to take the transformation (23), translate it to the new variables by using (33), and express the transformed variables in terms of the original ones. This presents no difficulty and the result is

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} 6x'_a &= (-3\rho^2 + \rho)u_a + (3\rho^2 + \rho)(u_b + u_c) + (u_d + u_e + u_f), \\ 6x'_b &= (-3\rho^2 + \rho)u_b + (3\rho^2 + \rho)(u_c + u_a) + (u_d + u_e + u_f), \\ 6x'_c &= (-3\rho^2 + \rho)u_c + (3\rho^2 + \rho)(u_a + u_b) + (u_d + u_e + u_f), \\ 6x'_d &= (u_a + u_b + u_c) + (-3\rho + \rho^2)u_d + (3\rho + \rho^2)(u_e + u_f), \\ 6x'_e &= (u_a + u_b + u_c) + (-3\rho + \rho^2)u_e + (3\rho + \rho^2)(u_f + u_d), \\ 6x'_f &= (u_a + u_b + u_c) + (-3\rho + \rho^2)u_f + (3\rho + \rho^2)(u_d + u_e). \end{aligned}$$

The transformation is completed by assigning to the matrix of the transformation which expresses $6u'_a, \cdots, 6u'_f$ in terms of x_a, \cdots, x_f coefficients which are conjugate to those of the matrix in (35).

The most important result which appears from this form of A_{123} is that the variables x and u are interchanged. It is clear from (30) that the same is true of the transpositions of G_{61} . Hence the space S_4 defined in S_9 by

$$u_a = \cdots = u_f = 0,$$

in which x_a, \cdots, x_f are variables and the space \bar{S}_4 defined in S_9 by

$$x_a = \cdots = x_f = 0,$$

in which u_a, \cdots, u_f are variables, are either unaltered or interchanged by an operation of γ_{51840} according as this operation can be expressed as a product of an even or an odd number of the 36 generating involutions. Hence

(36) *The collineation group γ_{51840} of (24) has an invariant pair of skew S_4 's. Its invariant subgroup γ_{25920} appears in either S_4 as a collineation group with a conjugate set of 40 linear spaces (33) and a conjugate set of 45 linear spaces ((32), (31)).*

It is clear from (17), (19), and (23) that

$$\sum_{40} \gamma_{123, 456}^2 *$$

is an invariant of $G_{6, 2}$ if it does not vanish identically. From (33) we have

$$-12 \sum_{40} \gamma_{123, 456}^2 = 5 \sum_6 x_a^2 + 5 \sum_6 u_a^2 + 4 \sum_{15} x_a x_b + 4 \sum_{15} u_a u_b + 6 \sum_{30} x_a u_b.$$

* The number under a summation sign is the number of terms used in the sum.

If we make use of (34) we find that

$$\sum_{40} \gamma_{123, 456}^2 = 2 \sum_6 x_a u_a.$$

The expression for this invariant in terms of \bar{a} , \dots , \bar{f} is from (30):

$$\sum_6 x_a u_a = \sum_6 (\bar{\alpha}^2 + 3d_2^2 \bar{a}^2).$$

If we square $\bar{\alpha}$ and use a table of symmetric functions we find in the notation of (9), § 1, that

$$(37) \quad \sum_6 \bar{\alpha}^2 = 2I_6 + 6a_2 q_4.$$

$$(38) \quad \therefore \sum_{40} \gamma_{123, 456}^2 = 2 \sum_6 x_a u_a = 4I_6.$$

From this result there follows that under γ_{25920} the variables x and u are *contragredient*. If then x is a point in S_4 , u may be regarded as an S_3 in S_4 and the x, u we shall define to be a *counter-point* in S_4 . Then the elements of γ_{51840} not in γ_{25920} are correlations in S_4 since they interchange the members of counter-points and have the invariant (38). Thus γ_{51840} can be regarded as a correlation group in S_4 , γ_{25920} as the invariant collineation subgroup of this correlation group.

This collineation group in S_4 is reasonably well identified with the Burkhardt group in S_4 by the two conjugate sets described in (36). To do this more precisely we observe with Burkhardt (BII, § 45) that his group can be so represented that it contains a subgroup $G_{6!}$ which permutes symmetrically $6S_3$'s.* If this transformation be applied to his simplest invariant J_4 (BII, p. 208) it takes the form

$$(39) \quad J_4 = \sum_{15} x_a x_b x_c x_d \quad (x_a + \dots + x_f = 0).$$

I had noted in using another canonical form† that this quartic spread has a conjugate set of 45 double points. The spread (39) has double points of types

$$1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0; \quad 1, 1, \rho, \rho, \rho^2, \rho^2.$$

If we compare these coördinates with the coefficients of the tritangent invariants in (31) and (32), after modifying $2\tau_{1256, 4}$ by adding

$$-\frac{1}{3}(\rho - \rho^2)(u_a + \dots + u_f)$$

so that the sum of its coefficients is zero, we find them to be the same. Hence the group of the 45 double points is the group of the 45 tritangent invariants τ .

(40) *The Cremona group $G_{6, 2}$ in Σ_4 effects within its simplest invariant linear system a group of linear transformations γ_{51840} which permutes the irrational*

* Such a subgroup (one of 36) is generated by the elements $(12) \cdot I, \dots, (56) \cdot I$ of $\Gamma_{6, 2}$.

† Coble, *An invariant condition for certain automorphic algebraic forms*, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 28 (1906).

invariants of $C^{(3)}$ in this linear system as the counter-points in S_4 are permuted under the operations of the* correlation group of order 51840 built upon Burkhardt's collineation group G_{25920} .

The group γ_{51840} in S_9 has the invariant (38) which may be regarded as a quadric and which determines in S_9 a polarity P . This polarity and γ_{51840} generate a correlation group $\gamma_{2 \cdot 51840}$ in S_9 which has for invariant subgroups both γ_{51840} and the G_2 whose elements are 1, P . Any element of γ_{51840} is permutable with P whence any involution of γ_{51840} multiplied by P is a polarity Q . A convenient way to indicate any involution of γ_{51840} is therefore to write the equation of the quadric Q . The involution is then QP , a product which can be written at once because of the simple form of P .

In particular the conjugate set of 36 generating involutions of γ_{51840} give rise to the set of Q 's:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{6}Q &= x_a^2 + \cdots + x_f^2 + u_a^2 + \cdots + u_f^2, \\ (41) \quad \frac{1}{6}Q_{12} &= -2(x_a x_d + x_b x_e + x_c x_f) - 2(u_a u_d + u_b u_e + u_c u_f), \\ \frac{1}{6}Q_{456} &= -2\rho^2(x_b x_c + x_c x_a + x_a x_b) - 2\rho(x_e x_f + x_f x_d + x_d x_e) \\ &\quad - 2\rho(u_b u_c + u_c u_a + u_a u_b) - 2\rho^2(u_e u_f + u_f u_d + u_d u_e). \end{aligned}$$

It is to be observed that the 36 products PQ , which in S_9 are collineations, in S_4 are correlations, and in fact polarities. The quadrics in S_4 which determine these 36 polarities in S_4 which generate the correlation group (40) are precisely those of (41) where however each Q is the sum of the point-equation and the S_3 -equation of the same quadric.

The following theorem, naturally of prime importance, is a consequence of (40), (30), and § 1 (13).

(42) Any invariant of the Burkhardt group G_{25920} of total degree $2k$ in x and u , or the sum or difference of two dual invariants of such total degree, is an invariant of $C^{(3)}$ of degree $6k$ in \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} , which is rational in $I_6, I_{12}, \sqrt{\Delta}, I_{18}$, and I_{30} .

In this way invariants of the hexahedral surface can be calculated. For example, we have already found in (38) that the invariant $\sum_6 x_a u_a$ of G_{25920} gives rise to the invariant $2I_6$ of $C^{(3)}$. We find also that the invariant J_4 of (39) and its dual give rise to the following invariants of $C^{(3)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (43) \quad 6 \left[\sum_{15} x_a x_b x_c x_d + \sum_{15} u_a u_b u_c u_d \right] &= 4I_6^2 + 24a_2 q_4 I_6 \\ &\quad + 24a_2 q_5^2 - 288a_3 q_4 q_5 + 80a_2^2 q_4^2 - 48q_4^3, \\ 6 \left[\sum_{15} x_a x_b x_c x_d - \sum_{15} u_a u_b u_c u_d \right] &= -40(\rho - \rho^2) \sqrt{\Delta}. \end{aligned}$$

The first of these might be taken as I_{12} , but it is to be remarked that invariants of $C^{(3)}$ obtained in this way are not in immediate relation with a system obtained by known covariant processes such as is contemplated in § 2.

* Since G_{25920} is simple it can be enlarged to a correlation group in only one way.

4. THE SOLUTION OF THE FORM PROBLEM OF $G_{6,2}$ IN TERMS OF A SOLUTION OF BURKHARDT'S FORM PROBLEM

The data necessary for this purpose are a set of independent invariants of the Burkhardt group (which we shall take as given in BII with variables y_0, y_1, \dots, y_4) and a set of five invariant mixed forms linear in the space coördinates v_0, v_1, \dots, v_4 . The expressions in y for the invariants J_4, J_6, J_{10}, J_{12} , and J_{18} given by Burkhardt seem open to suspicion (cf. footnotes, §§ 4, 6) and we shall merely assume that independent invariants of the degrees indicated exist which together with their jacobian, J_{45} , constitute a complete system in the variables y alone.

It is noted in BII, p. 218, that the forty squares

$$9y_0^2, \quad -3(y_0 + 2\epsilon^\lambda y_\alpha)^2, \quad (\epsilon = e^{2\pi i/3}), \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, 4), \\ (y_0 + 2\epsilon^\lambda y_1 + 2\epsilon^\mu y_2 + 2\epsilon^\nu y_3 + 2\epsilon^{-\lambda-\mu-\nu} y_4)^2 \quad (\lambda, \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2),$$

are merely permuted under the operations of the group. If then J_{2k} is the sum of the k th powers of these squares, J_{2k} either vanishes or is an invariant. In order to prove that J_{2k} ($k = 2, 3, 5, 6, 9$) together with J_{45} constitute a complete system we have only to prove that their jacobian is not zero and therefore must be a numerical multiple of J_{45} . To simplify the calculation we shall assume after taking the derivatives that

$$y_0 = y_4 = 0, \quad y_1 = -y_2 = 1, \quad y_3 = t.$$

Then J_{45} , the product of the 45 linear forms given in BII, p. 195, takes the simple form

$$J_{45} = \sigma t^{12} (t^6 - 1) (t^6 + 3^3)^3,$$

where σ is a numerical constant. The first derivatives of J_{2k} for the given values of k become

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^{2k-1}} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{\partial J_{2k}}{\partial y_0} &= \sum_{\lambda} (-3)^k \epsilon^{\lambda(2k-1)} t^{2k-1} + \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} (\epsilon^\lambda - \epsilon^\mu + \epsilon^\nu t)^{2k-1}, \\ \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{\partial J_{2k}}{\partial y_1} &= \sum_{\lambda} (-3)^k \epsilon^{2k\lambda} + \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} (\epsilon^\lambda - \epsilon^\mu + \epsilon^\nu t)^{2k-1} \epsilon^\lambda, \\ \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{\partial J_{2k}}{\partial y_2} &= \sum_{\lambda} -(-3)^k \epsilon^{2k\lambda} + \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} (\epsilon^\lambda - \epsilon^\mu + \epsilon^\nu t)^{2k-1} \epsilon^\mu, \\ \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{\partial J_{2k}}{\partial y_3} &= \sum_{\lambda} (-3)^k \epsilon^{2k\lambda} t^{2k-1} + \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} (\epsilon^\lambda - \epsilon^\mu + \epsilon^\nu t)^{2k-1} \epsilon^\nu, \\ \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{\partial J_{2k}}{\partial y_4} &= \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} (\epsilon^\lambda - \epsilon^\mu + \epsilon^\nu t)^{2k-1} \epsilon^{-\lambda-\mu-\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that for $k = 2$ and $k = 5$ only the first and last derivatives do not

vanish so that the determinant of these derivatives is a factor of the jacobian which turns out to be

$$-2^3 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^2 t^4 (t^6 + 3^3),$$

which is a factor of J_{45} . The remaining factor is the determinant of the other derivatives for $k = 3, 6, 9$. If we write these derivatives in order for a given k in a column, then the first and second rows are interchanged with a change of sign throughout, if λ and μ be interchanged and t changed in sign. If then we take the sum and difference of the first two rows for new first two rows, t^3 factors from the new first row and t^5 factors from the last row. The factor t^{12} of J_{45} is now accounted for and the columns are of degrees 0, 1, 2 in t^6 . It will now be sufficient to prove that the coefficient of the highest power of t^6 is not zero. This is

$$27^3 \cdot 2^2 \begin{vmatrix} 10 & \binom{11}{2} & \binom{17}{2} \\ -12 & -9 \binom{11}{5} & -9 \binom{17}{5} \\ 1-3 & 1+3^4 & 1-3^7 \end{vmatrix} = 2^5 \cdot 3^{12} \cdot 5 \cdot 31 \cdot 4181.$$

(44) *The invariants $J_{2,2}$, $J_{2,3}$, $J_{2,5}$, $J_{2,6}$, and $J_{2,9}$ defined above, together with J_{45} , constitute a complete system for the Burkhardt group.*

We shall indicate by $J_{i,k}$ an invariant form of this group of degree i in y and of degree k in the dual coördinates v . Then the group has an invariant $J_{0,4}$, the dual of $J_{4,0}$ found above. I had noted (see loc. cit., § 3) that these two forms had the symmetrical property that the polar quadric of a given quadric as to either would have for polar as to the other the given quadric. Thus either form defines the other and if we take with Burkhardt

$$J_{4,0} = y_0^4 + 8y_0(y_1^3 + \cdots + y_4^3) + 48y_1y_2y_3y_4,$$

then $J_{0,4}$ must be

$$J_{0,4} = v_0^4 + v_0(v_1^3 + \cdots + v_4^3) + 3v_1v_2v_3v_4.$$

If we operate with the polar cubic of v as to $J_{0,4}$ on any invariant $J_{r,0}$ the result, if not zero, is an invariant $J_{r-3,1}$. We shall now prove that

(45) *If we operate with the polar cubic of v as to $J_{0,4}$ upon the sums of powers J_{2k} for $k = 2, 5, 6, 8, 9$ we obtain five forms $J_{1,1}$, $J_{7,1}$, $J_{9,1}$, $J_{13,1}$, and $J_{15,1}$ which in v are linearly independent and have for determinant J_{45} .*

The proof will be carried out as above. The coefficients of v_0, \cdots, v_4 in $J_{2k-3,1}$ become after using the above special values of y and taking out the numerical factor $2k(2k-1)(2k-2)2^{2k-1} \cdot 3$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda} (-3)^k \epsilon^{2k\lambda} t^{2k-3} &+ 3 \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} (\epsilon^{\lambda} - \epsilon^{\mu} + \epsilon^{\nu} t)^{2k-3}, \\ \sum_{\lambda} (-3)^k \epsilon^{(2k-1)\lambda} &+ 3 \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} (\epsilon^{\lambda} - \epsilon^{\mu} + \epsilon^{\nu} t)^{2k-3} \epsilon^{2\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda} - (-3)^k \epsilon^{(2k-1)\lambda} + 3 \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} (\epsilon^{\lambda} - \epsilon^{\mu} + \epsilon^{\nu} t)^{2k-3} \epsilon^{2\mu}, \\ \sum_{\lambda} (-3)^k \epsilon^{(2k-1)\lambda} t^{2k-3} + 3 \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} (\epsilon^{\lambda} - \epsilon^{\mu} + \epsilon^{\nu} t)^{2k-3} \epsilon^{2\nu} \\ + 3 \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} (\epsilon^{\lambda} - \epsilon^{\mu} + \epsilon^{\nu} t)^{2k-3} \epsilon^{\lambda+\mu+\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Again for $k = 6, 9$ the coefficients of v_1, v_2, v_3 vanish so that the determinant of the five forms contains as a factor the two-rowed determinant of coefficients of v_0, v_4 for $k = 6, 9$. This is easily reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} 3^6 \begin{vmatrix} (3^4 + 3)t^9 - 2 \cdot 3^3 \binom{9}{3} t^3 \\ (-3^7 + 3)t^{15} - 2 \cdot 3^3 \binom{15}{9} t^9 + 2 \cdot 3^6 \binom{15}{3} t^3 \end{vmatrix} \\ \quad \quad \quad \begin{vmatrix} 6 \binom{9}{4} t^5 (\epsilon - \epsilon^2)^4 \\ 6 \binom{15}{4} t^{11} (\epsilon - \epsilon^2)^4 + 6 \binom{15}{10} t^5 (\epsilon - \epsilon^2)^{10} \end{vmatrix} \\ = 3^{11} \cdot 2^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 t^8 \begin{vmatrix} t^6 - 2 \cdot 3^3 & 3 \\ -4t^{12} - 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 t^6 + 3^5 \cdot 5 & 5t^6 - 3^3 \cdot 11 \end{vmatrix} \\ = 3^{11} \cdot 2^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 t^8 (t^6 + 3^3)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This is a factor of J_{45} .* There remains the determinant of the coefficients of v_1, v_2, v_3 for $k = 2, 5, 8$ which reads as follows when we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda, \mu} (\epsilon^{\lambda} - \epsilon^{\mu} + t)^k \quad \text{by } s_k: \\ \begin{vmatrix} 3^3 + 9s_1 \epsilon^{2\lambda} & -3^6 + 9s_7 \epsilon^{2\lambda} & 3^9 + 9s_{13} \epsilon^{2\lambda} \\ -3^3 + 9s_1 \epsilon^{2\mu} & 3^6 + 9s_7 \epsilon^{2\mu} & -3^9 + 9s_{13} \epsilon^{2\mu} \\ 3^3 t + 9s_1 & -3^6 t + 9s_7 & 3^9 t^3 + 9s_{13} \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By adding and subtracting the first and second rows to form new rows we get the determinant

$$\begin{aligned} 2^4 \cdot 3^{11} t^4 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -35 & -\binom{13}{4} t^6 + \binom{13}{10} 3^3 \\ 1 & 3(7t^6 - 36) & 3[(\binom{13}{1} t^{12} - \binom{13}{7} t^6 + 4 \cdot 3^5)] \\ 1 & -3(8t^6 + 18 \cdot 7) & 3 \cdot 244 t^{12} - 2 \binom{13}{6} t^6 + 2 \cdot 13 \cdot 3^6 \end{vmatrix} \\ = 2^5 \cdot 3^{14} \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 t^4 (t^6 - 1)(t^6 + 3^3) \end{aligned}$$

which is the remaining factor of J_{45} and theorem (45) is established.

According to § 3 (42) the invariants $J_{2,2}, \dots, J_{2,9}$ of (44) give rise to in-

* I originally tried to derive a $J_{9,1}$ and $J_{15,1}$ by operating with the above polar cubic on Burkhardt's J_{12} and J_{18} as explicitly given in terms of the y 's in BII, pp. 208-9. As above only the terms in v_0, v_4 persisted for $y_0 = y_4 = 0$. But their two-rowed determinant could not be expressed as a factor of J_{45} . Either my own calculation was wrong or there is some mistake in one of these forms other than the misprint (p. 209) in the term $-8\sum y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4^2$ which should read $-8\sum y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4^3$. This was one of the reasons for introducing a new complete system.

variants $I'_{12}, I'_{18}, I'_{30}, I'_{36}$, and I'_{54} of the group $\Gamma_{6,2}$ and the invariants $J_{1,1}, \dots, J_{15,1}$ of (45) give rise to invariants $I''_6, I''_{24}, I''_{30}, I''_{42}$, and I''_{48} of $\Gamma_{6,2}$. Explicit expressions for the invariants I' and I'' could be obtained if a complete system for $G_{6,2}$ had been selected. Their expressions in terms of \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} could easily be written, since they are given in terms of the sums of powers of the 40 linear forms in x found in § 3 (33) and from § 3 (30), (21) the values of x in terms of \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} are known.

The requisite steps in the solution of the form problem of $\Gamma_{6,2}$ when a solution of Burkhardt's form problem is adjoined are as follows:

1°. From the given values of $I_6, I_{12}, \sqrt{\Delta}, I_{18}, I_{30}$ the values of $I'_{12}, I'_{18}, I'_{30}, I'_{36}, I'_{54}$ above are determined and thereby the given values of the Burkhardt form problem are ascertained.

2°. From these values a solution of the Burkhardt form problem is calculated.

This solution we suppose taken in the canonical form x_a, \dots, x_f where the factor of proportionality λ in the x 's is determined from $J_{2,3}/J_{2,2}$ to within sign.

3°. The values of I''_6, \dots, I''_{48} are determined in terms of the invariants of $\Gamma_{6,2}$; and from the system of five linear equations

$$J_{1,1} = I''_6, \quad \dots, \quad J_{15,1} = I''_{48}$$

together with $u_a + \dots + u_f = 0$, the values of u_a, \dots, u_f are found in terms of the I'' and of x_a, \dots, x_f to within the same factor λ .

4°. From the equations

$$x_a - u_a = 2(\rho - \rho^2)d_2\bar{a}, \quad \dots, \quad x_f - u_f = 2(\rho - \rho^2)d_2\bar{f},$$

values of $\mu\bar{a}, \dots, \mu\bar{f}$ are obtained. If these values are used in place of \bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f} to determine $\bar{\alpha}$ in $x_a + u_a = 2\bar{\alpha}$, the value of μ^3 is rationally determined. If in (8) § 1 we use $\mu\bar{a}$, etc., rather than \bar{a} , etc., in forming Π we have

$$\sqrt{\Delta} = \frac{d_2 \Pi_\mu}{\mu^{10}} = \frac{d_2 \mu^2 \Pi_\mu}{\mu^{12}}$$

whence $d_2 \mu^2$ is rationally determined.

5°. The ratios of the coördinates of the point P in Σ_4 which is a solution of $\Gamma_{6,2}$ are obtained from I, § 10 (89) by replacing $\bar{a}, \dots, \bar{f}, \rho$ by $\mu\bar{a}, \dots, \mu\bar{f}, \mu\rho$ where $\mu\rho$ is rational in $\mu\bar{a}, \dots, \mu\bar{f}, \mu^2 d_2$.

The above procedure is valid except in the two cases when either Δ vanishes, or J_{45} , the determinant of the system of linear equations in 3°, vanishes. If Δ vanishes the lines of $C^{(3)}$ can be determined by the solution of a general sextic equation and the above apparatus is unnecessary. If J_{45} vanishes then one of the tritangent invariants t of § 3 (26), (29) must vanish. The product of these is an invariant $I_{75} \cdot I_{60}$ of $\Gamma_{6,2}$. Without stopping to discuss

the geometric meaning of I_{60} it is clear that the vanishing of J_{45} must imply the isolation of a tritangent plane of $C^{(3)}$ in which case as noted before the equations of the lines can be expressed by means of radicals.

That the Burkhardt form problem has precisely 25920 solutions shows that the form problem of $\Gamma_{6,2}$ has 25920 solutions and therefore that of $G_{6,2}$ has 51840 solutions. For any solution for $\Gamma_{6,2}$ leads to a solution for G_{25920} and conversely. We now have to consider further only those processes involved in 2° .

5. THE NORMAL HYPERELLIPTIC SURFACE IN S_8 OF GENUS TWO AND GRADE THREE

In this paragraph we shall develop certain geometrical facts concerning the hyperelliptic surface and its projections which suggest a solution of the Burkhardt form problem for the special case $J_4 = 0$.

It is known* that there are 3^{2p} essentially distinct theta functions of the first order whose characteristic can be formed from rational numbers with denominator 3. We shall use the $3^{2p} = 81$ ($p = 2$) cubes of these functions, i. e., 81 theta functions of the third order and characteristic zero. Of these only $3^p = 9$ are linearly independent, so that if such a set of 9 are equated to homogeneous coördinates in a linear space S_8 they furnish, as the variables (u) change a parametric representation of a manifold M_2 in S_8 . The order of M_2 is the number of common zeros of two such functions, i. e., $3^{2 \cdot 2}! = 18$.

If we replace (u) by $(u) + (P)$ where (P) is any period then (K, p. 371, V, VI) the 81 theta cubes all are affected by the same factor of proportionality so that values $(u)' \equiv (u)$ furnish the same point on M_2^{18} . If we replace (u) by $(u + \frac{1}{3}P)$ then (K, p. 371, VII, VIII) the 81 theta cubes are permuted cyclically in sets of three to within a factor of proportionality common to all. Hence $(u)' \equiv (u + \frac{1}{3}P)$ is the parametric expression of a collineation of period three which transforms M_2^{18} into itself. By allowing $(\frac{1}{3}P)$ to take all possible values a collineation G_{81} is obtained. If we replace (u) by $-(u)$ then (K, p. 372, IX) all the theta cubes, except $[\vartheta(u)]^3$ which is unaltered, are interchanged in pairs without extraneous factors. Hence $(u)' \equiv -(u)$ is the parametric expression of an involution I which also transforms M_2^{18} into itself. These permutations of the functions are independent of variation of the moduli $\tau_{11}, \tau_{12}, \tau_{22}$ of the functions, whence

(46) *Any one of a family of ∞^3 spreads M_2^{18} defines the collineation group $G_{2 \cdot 81}$ under which each member of the family is invariant. The parametric form of $G_{2 \cdot 81}$ is $(u)' = \pm (u) + (\frac{1}{3}P)$. The $G_{2 \cdot 81}$ contains an abelian subgroup G_{81} of signature $(3, 3, 3, 3)$ and also contains 81 involutions conjugate to I under G_{81} .*

* Cf. Krazer, *Lehrbuch der Thetafunktionen*, pp. 370-72; cited hereafter as K.

We shall speak of M_2^{18} as the *normal hyperelliptic surface of genus 2 and grade 3* since any surface whose parametric equations contain only functions of the third order and zero characteristic is either M_2^{18} or one of its projections.

To obtain a convenient form for $G_{2,81}$ let us suppose that a member of the family has been isolated for which τ_{12} vanishes. The theta functions of the third order and zero characteristic can then be linearly expressed in terms of products of similar elliptic theta functions with respectively variables u, v and moduli τ_{11}, τ_{22} . If the third periods are $\frac{1}{3}Q$ and $\frac{1}{3}R$ respectively the $G_{2,81}$ is a product of the parametric substitutions

$$u' \equiv eu + \frac{1}{3}Q, \quad v' \equiv ev + \frac{1}{3}R \quad (e = \pm 1).$$

As we know such linear combinations of the elliptic thetas can be formed that their collineation group $G_{2,9}$ is generated by

$$x'_i = x_{i+1}, \quad x'_i = \rho^i x_i, \quad x'_i = x_{3-i} \quad (i = 0, 1, 2 \bmod 3).$$

Similar combinations \overline{x}_i in the variables v can be formed and the 9 products $x_i \overline{x}_j$ define special members of the family (46). The $G_{2,81}$ of such a special member, and therefore also of the general member, of the family has the generators:

$$(47) \quad \begin{aligned} x'_{ij} &= x_{i+1, j}, & x'_{ij} &= \rho^i x_{ij}, & x'_{ij} &= x_{i, j+1}, \\ x'_{ij} &= \rho^j x_{ij}, & x'_{i, j} &= x_{3-i, 3-j} \\ & & & (\rho = e^{2\pi i/3}; i, j = 0, 1, 2 \bmod 3). \end{aligned}$$

The set of 81 points $(u) \equiv (\frac{1}{3}P)$ on M_2^{18} is a conjugate set under G_{81} . The particular involution I given by $(u)' \equiv -(u)$ or $x'_{ij} = x_{3-i, 3-j}$ has 16 fixed points on M_2^{18} namely the one point $(u) \equiv 0$ included in the above set and the 15 points $(u) \equiv (\frac{1}{2}P) \not\equiv 0$. All of these points must be found on the fixed spaces of I . If in order to bring into evidence these fixed spaces we make the change of coördinates

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} y_0 &= x_{00}, \\ 2y_1 &= x_{01} + x_{02}, & 2z_1 &= x_{01} - x_{02}, \\ 2y_2 &= x_{10} + x_{20}, & 2z_2 &= x_{10} - x_{20}, \\ 2y_3 &= x_{11} + x_{22}, & 2z_3 &= x_{11} - x_{22}, \\ 2y_4 &= x_{12} + x_{21}, & 2z_4 &= x_{12} - x_{21}, \end{aligned}$$

we find that the spaces of fixed points of I are an S_3 with coördinates z determined by $y = 0$, and an S_4 with coördinates y determined by $z = 0$. Since in the degenerate elliptic case when $u \equiv 0, x_0 = x_1 + x_2 = 0$, and when $u \equiv \frac{1}{2}Q, x_1 - x_2 = 0$ we see that in general the fixed S_4 contains the point $(u) \equiv 0$ and the 9 points $(u) \equiv (\frac{1}{2}P)$ where $(\frac{1}{2}P)$ has an even characteristic while the fixed S_3 contains the 6 points $(u) \equiv (\frac{1}{2}P)$ where $(\frac{1}{2}P)$ has an odd characteristic. Since a pair of corresponding points of I is projected from either fixed space into a single point of the other fixed space we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (51) \quad & \alpha_0 y_3^2 + 2\alpha_1 y_4 y_2 + 2\alpha_2 y_4 y_1 + 2\alpha_3 y_0 y_3 + 2\alpha_4 y_2 y_1 \\
 & + \alpha_0 z_3^2 + 2\alpha_1 z_4 z_2 - 2\alpha_2 z_4 z_1 + 2\alpha_4 z_2 z_1 = 0, \\
 & \alpha_0 y_4^2 + 2\alpha_1 y_2 y_3 + 2\alpha_2 y_3 y_1 + 2\alpha_3 y_2 y_1 + 2\alpha_4 y_0 y_4 \\
 & + \alpha_0 z_4^2 + 2\alpha_1 z_2 z_3 + 2\alpha_2 z_3 z_1 - 2\alpha_3 z_2 z_1 = 0, \\
 & z_1 \pi_{01} + z_2 \pi_{43} + z_3 \pi_{24} + z_4 \pi_{32} = 0, \\
 & z_1 \pi_{43} + z_2 \pi_{02} + z_3 \pi_{14} + z_4 \pi_{13} = 0, \\
 & z_1 \pi_{24} + z_2 \pi_{14} + z_3 \pi_{03} + z_4 \pi_{12} = 0, \\
 & z_1 \pi_{32} + z_2 \pi_{13} + z_3 \pi_{12} + z_4 \pi_{04} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

($\pi_{ik} = \alpha_i y_k - \alpha_k y_i$).

(52) *The normal surface M_2^{18} is the complete intersection of the nine quadric spreads of (50) or (51).*

That it is the complete intersection will follow later from the fact that the projections are completely defined by the above equations.

Since for $(u) \equiv 0$ we have a point on the fixed S_4 of I the coördinates z of this point are zero and from the simplified form of the first five quadrics (51) we see that *the point $(u) \equiv 0$ of M_2^{18} is on the hessian J_{10} and the modular forms $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_4$ are the coördinates of the corresponding point of the steinerian of the quartic spread $J_4 = y_0^4 + 8y_0(y_1^3 + \dots + y_4^3) + 48y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4$ in the fixed S_4 of I .* However we shall see later that this is only a partial statement of the hessian and steinerian relation. For a general point y, z on M_2^{18} the last four equations (51) hold and the z 's are not zero, so that the y 's which are also the coördinates in S_4 of a projected point pair of M_2^{18} must satisfy the equation

$$(53) \quad K = \begin{vmatrix} \pi_{01} & \pi_{43} & \pi_{24} & \pi_{32} \\ \pi_{43} & \pi_{02} & \pi_{14} & \pi_{13} \\ \pi_{24} & \pi_{14} & \pi_{03} & \pi_{12} \\ \pi_{32} & \pi_{13} & \pi_{12} & \pi_{04} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Hence the doubly covered surface N_2^6 lies on this quartic cone K . In order to determine the point α and thereby to locate this cone we observe that for the 6 points of M_2^{18} on S_3 the coördinates y are zero and therefore these points will lie on the five quadrics in S_3 obtained by setting $y = 0$ in (51). If these be multiplied respectively by $\alpha_0, 2\alpha_1, \dots, 2\alpha_4$ and added the result is zero so that the quadrics

$$\begin{aligned}
 (54) \quad & \alpha_0 z_1^2 - 2\alpha_2 z_3 z_4 - 2\alpha_3 z_2 z_4 - 2\alpha_4 z_3 z_2 = 0, \\
 & \alpha_0 z_2^2 + 2\alpha_1 z_3 z_4 + 2\alpha_3 z_4 z_1 - 2\alpha_4 z_1 z_3 = 0, \\
 & \alpha_0 z_3^2 + 2\alpha_1 z_4 z_2 - 2\alpha_2 z_1 z_4 + 2\alpha_4 z_2 z_1 = 0, \\
 & \alpha_0 z_4^2 + 2\alpha_1 z_2 z_3 + 2\alpha_2 z_3 z_1 - 2\alpha_3 z_1 z_2 = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

meet in the six points, say the P_6^3 , in which M_2^{18} cuts S_3 . For any one of these points z , equations (54) determine the ratios of the α 's to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_0 &= 6z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4, \\
 \alpha_1 &= -z_1 (z_2^3 + z_3^3 + z_4^3), \\
 \alpha_2 &= z_2 (z_1^3 + z_3^3 - z_4^3), \\
 \alpha_3 &= z_3 (z_1^3 - z_2^3 + z_4^3), \\
 \alpha_4 &= z_4 (z_1^3 + z_2^3 - z_3^3). *
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{55}$$

Now as the moduli vary the P_6^3 of M_2^{18} on S_3 runs over the S_3 and equations (55) constitute the map of P_6^3 's in S_3 upon a certain spread in the fixed S_4 . The mapping is effected by a linear system of ∞^4 quartic spreads on a Witting configuration, say a W_{40} , made up of the 40 points

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_i &= 1, & z_j &= 0 & (i \neq j; i, j = 1, \dots, 4); \\
 z_1 &= 0, & z_2^3 &= z_3^3 = z_4^3; \\
 z_2 &= 0, & z_3^3 &= -z_4^3 = z_1^3; \\
 z_3 &= 0, & z_4^3 &= z_1^3 = -z_2^3; \\
 z_4 &= 0, & z_1^3 &= z_2^3 = -z_3^3.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{56}$$

Three of these quartic surfaces meet in 64 points, of which only 24 are variable, but these divide into $4P_6^3$'s so that a line in S_4 meets the map of S_3 under (55) in four points. Since this map must be invariant under the modular group it must be the spread J_4 and it is easy to verify directly that $J_4(\alpha)$ vanishes for the values α given in (55).†

Another interesting fact concerning the system of quartic surfaces on W_{40} arises by considering the jacobian of the four quadrics (54), which is a Weddle

* Cf. BIII, p. 337; the formulas there given are wrong, since as they stand $J_4(Y) \neq 0$. The Y_0 and Y_1 should be changed in sign.

† The modular groups in y and z are fully discussed by Klein, Witting, and Burkhardt. It is clear that the $G_{2,81}$ contains 40 G_3 's and that further collineations exist which permute these G_3 's. Thus $G_{2,81}$ is an invariant subgroup of a collineation $G_{2,81 \cdot m}$. The elements of this group must leave the family of M_2^{18} 's invariant but must permute its members and therefore must arise by adjoining transformations on τ_{ik} . These additional collineations could be determined readily by finding the collineations on the z 's which permute the points of W_{40} , by finding from (55) the corresponding collineations on the α 's which are cogredient with the y 's, and by adjusting the factors of proportionality so that the system (51) in y, z, α is invariant. The modular groups in y alone or z alone are obtained from $G_{2,81 \cdot m}$ by considering the subgroup which leaves I unaltered, a subgroup which is isomorphic with the factor group of $G_{2,81}$ under $G_{2,81 \cdot m}$.

quartic with nodes at the P_6^3 common to the four. This jacobian is

$$(57) \quad J \equiv \frac{6}{\alpha_0} \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_0 z_1 & -\alpha_3 z_4 - \alpha_4 z_3 - \alpha_4 z_2 - \alpha_2 z_4 - \alpha_2 z_3 - \alpha_3 z_2 \\ \alpha_3 z_4 - \alpha_4 z_3 & \alpha_0 z_2 & \alpha_1 z_4 - \alpha_4 z_1 & \alpha_1 z_3 + \alpha_3 z_1 \\ -\alpha_2 z_4 + \alpha_4 z_2 & \alpha_1 z_4 + \alpha_4 z_1 & \alpha_0 z_3 & \alpha_1 z_2 - \alpha_2 z_1 \\ \alpha_2 z_3 - \alpha_3 z_2 & \alpha_1 z_3 - \alpha_3 z_1 & \alpha_1 z_2 + \alpha_2 z_1 & \alpha_0 z_4 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} J &= [\alpha_0^3 + 2(\alpha_1^3 + \cdots + \alpha_4^3)] 6z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 \\ &\quad + 6(\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 + 2\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4) [-z_1(z_2^3 + z_3^3 + z_4^3)] \\ &\quad + \cdots + 6(\alpha_0 \alpha_4^2 + 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3) [z_4(z_1^3 + z_2^3 - z_3^3)]. \end{aligned}$$

If then we write J_4 in symbolic form

$$(58) \quad J_4 = (ay)^4 = (by)^4 = \cdots,$$

we have the simple equation for J

$$(59) \quad J = (a\alpha)^3 (a\alpha'),$$

where α' can be expressed as a quartic in z by means of (55).

(60) *The fixed S_3 of I is mapped in (55) upon the fixed S_4 by quartic spreads on W_{40} . The sets P_6^3 cut out on S_3 by the $\infty^3 M_2^{18}$'s map upon single points of the quartic spread J_4 in S_4 which has the peculiarity of being its own steinerian. If P_6^3 maps upon α on J_4 the tangent S_3 to J_4 at α cuts J_4 in the map of a Weddle quartic in S_3 with nodes at P_6^3 and in the linear system on W_{40} . Thus the linear system contains ∞^3 Weddle surfaces one with a node at each point of S_3 .*

The following useful identity can be verified easily:

$$(61) \quad (a\alpha)^4 \cdot (ay)^4 - 4(a\alpha)^3 (ay) \cdot (a\alpha) (ay)^3 \\ + 3[(a\alpha)^2 (ay)^2]^2 = 48K; \quad \text{i. e.,}$$

(62) *The locus of lines in S_4 which cut the quartic spread J_4 in four self-apolar points is the quartic complex K .*

We have already noted that the doubly covered N_2^6 lies on the cone K for given α on J_4 . If we multiply the first five quadrics (51) by $\alpha_0, 2\alpha_1, \cdots, 2\alpha_4$ respectively and add, the z 's disappear and we have $(a\alpha)^2 (ay)^2 = 0$. Hence N_2^6 lies on the polar quadric of α as to J_4 . This meets K in an octavic 2-way. But from (61) if $(a\alpha)^4 = 0$, $(a\alpha)^2 (ay)^2 = 0$, and $K = 0$, then either $(a\alpha)^3 (ay) = 0$ or $(a\alpha) (ay)^3 = 0$. The octavic 2-way breaks up into a quadric and N_2^6 , which is the complete intersection of $(a\alpha)^2 (ay)^2 = 0$ and $(a\alpha) (ay)^3 = 0$. If π is any plane on α , π meets J_4 in a quartic curve on α and meets $(a\alpha) (ay)^3 = 0$ and $(a\alpha)^2 (ay)^2 = 0$ in the polar cubic and conic of α as to this curve. The polar curves meet in only four points outside α

and from (61) the lines from α to these four points are on K , whence N_2^6 has a double point α and its cone of projection from α is K .

The argument used above to show that $(u) \equiv 0$ furnishes a point of the hessian J_{10} whose steinerian point α is on J_4 applies equally well to show that any one of the 10 points of M_2^{18} on S_4 lies on J_{10} and that all have the same steinerian point α . Hence the polar cubic of α on J_4 as to J_4 has 10 nodes on J_{10} . But a cubic spread in S_4 with 10 nodes is a Segre cubic* and its enveloping cone from a point on it is a Kummer cone, i. e., a cone whose section is a Kummer surface. But the enveloping cone of $(\alpha\alpha)(ay)^3 = 0$ from α is

$$3[(\alpha\alpha)^2(ay)^2]^2 - 4(\alpha\alpha)^3(ay) \cdot (\alpha\alpha)(ay)^3 = 0,$$

which, since $(\alpha\alpha)^4 = 0$, reduces to $K = 0$. Hence we have shown that

(63) *If α is any point on J_4 the polar cubic of α as to J_4 is a Segre cubic spread with ten nodes on J_{10} where M_2^{18} cuts S_4 , whose enveloping cone from α is the Kummer cone K . The family of ∞^3 doubly covered N_2^6 's in S_4 is obtained by taking the complete intersection of the polar cubic and the polar quadric of α as to J_4 as α runs over J_4 . The N_2^6 determined by α has a node at α and its cone of projection from α is K . The family of ∞^3 doubly covered surfaces W_2^4 in S_3 is the system of ∞^3 Weddle surfaces J which lie in the linear system on W_{40} .*

The last statement can be proved as follows: Let y, z be a general point on M_2^{18} for which therefore not all the y 's nor all the z 's can vanish. This point satisfies the last four equations (51) and we should ordinarily expect to be able to solve them for the ratios of the y 's in terms of α, z . The matrix of the system is

$$(64) \quad \begin{vmatrix} -\alpha_1 z_1 & \alpha_0 z_1 & \alpha_3 z_4 - \alpha_4 z_3 & \alpha_4 z_2 - \alpha_2 z_4 & \alpha_2 z_3 - \alpha_3 z_2 \\ -\alpha_2 z_2 & -\alpha_3 z_4 - \alpha_4 z_3 & \alpha_0 z_2 & \alpha_1 z_4 + \alpha_4 z_1 & \alpha_1 z_3 - \alpha_3 z_1 \\ -\alpha_3 z_3 & -\alpha_2 z_4 - \alpha_4 z_2 & \alpha_1 z_4 - \alpha_4 z_1 & \alpha_0 z_3 & \alpha_1 z_2 + \alpha_2 z_1 \\ -\alpha_4 z_4 & -\alpha_2 z_3 - \alpha_3 z_2 & \alpha_1 z_3 + \alpha_3 z_1 & \alpha_1 z_2 - \alpha_2 z_1 & \alpha_0 z_4 \end{vmatrix}.$$

But the same system arranged in terms of z has the determinant K in (8) and it is satisfied by $y = \alpha$ whatever be z . Since, for the general point y, z of M_2^{18} , $y \neq \alpha$ we must have as the solution of (64) $y_i = \alpha_i f(z)$ where $f(z)$ vanishes for the general point of M_2^{18} , i. e., for the general point of W_2^4 as well. By comparing the determinant value of y_0 in (64) with J in (57) we see that

$$(65) \quad y_i = \alpha_i \frac{J}{6},$$

whence $f(z)$ is J .

Let us now seek a parametric equation for the manifold M_2^{18} which is determined by a point α on J_4 . Let $P(\bar{y}, \bar{z})$ be the general point on M_2^{18} , $P(\bar{y})$

* Cf. Bertini, *Introduzione alla geometria proiettiva degli iperspazi*, pp. 176-87.

its projection on S_4 , and $P(\bar{z})$ its projection on S_3 . If y is an arbitrary point on the cone K determined by α , the line $\overline{\alpha y}$ meets the quadric $(a\alpha)^2(ay)^2 = 0$ in a single point other than α , which is the required point $P(\bar{y})$ on N_2^6 . If $\bar{y} = y + \lambda\alpha$ we have on substituting in $(a\alpha)^2(ay)^2 = 0$ and using $(a\alpha)^4 = 0$ that $(a\alpha)^2(ay)^2 + 2\lambda(a\alpha)^3(ay) = 0$, whence

$$(66) \quad \bar{y}_i = 2y_i(a\alpha)^3(ay) - \alpha_i \cdot (a\alpha)^2(ay)^2.$$

The $\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_4$ were determined from 4 linear equations with the symmetric determinant K . If then we denote this determinant bordered with variables w by $K(w)$

$$(67) \quad K(w)_{K=0} = b_{ik} w_i w_k = (zw)^2.$$

Hence we have for any point y on K a unique point z whose coördinates are determined to within sign by $K(w) = (zw)^2$, or for which the products $z_i z_k$ are uniquely determined as minor determinants of K . For the required point $P(\bar{z})$ we must have $\bar{z}_i = \mu z_i$ and we have to determine this two-valued function μ . Either z or \bar{z} will with \bar{y} satisfy the last four equations (51) and we merely have to determine μ so that the first five are (or any one of them is) satisfied. Let us take then the first equation and set \bar{y}_i equal to its value in (66) and \bar{z}_i equal to μz_i where $z_i z_k$ is obtained from (67). If we note that in (61) when $(a\alpha)^4 = 0$ and $K = 0$ then

$$[(a\alpha)^2(ay)^2]^2 = 4/3(a\alpha)^3(ay) \cdot (a\alpha)(ay)^3$$

we find that $\alpha_0 \bar{y}_1^2 + 2 \sum_4 \alpha_1 \bar{y}_1^2$ becomes

$$4(a\alpha)^3(ay) \{ (\alpha_0 y_0^2 + 2 \sum_4 \alpha_1 y_1^2) \cdot (a\alpha)^3(ay) \\ - (\alpha_0^2 y_0 + 2 \sum_4 \alpha_1^2 y_1) \cdot (a\alpha)^2(ay)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(\alpha_0^3 + 2 \sum_4 \alpha_1^3) \cdot (a\alpha)(ay)^3 \}.$$

On the other hand we find that $\sum_4 -2\alpha_1 \bar{z}_1^2$ becomes

$$2\mu^2 \{ \sum_4 \alpha_1 [\pi_{02} \pi_{03} \pi_{04} - \sum_3 \pi_{02} \pi_{12}^2 + 2\pi_{12} \pi_{13} \pi_{14}] \} \\ = 2\mu^2 \{ -4\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 y_0^3 + 3\alpha_0 y_0^2 \sum_4 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 y_1 - 2\alpha_0^2 y_0 \sum_6 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 y_3 y_4 \\ + 2y_0 \sum_{12} \alpha_1^3 \alpha_2 y_2^2 - 4y_0 \sum_6 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 y_1 y_2 + \alpha_0^3 \sum_4 \alpha_1 y_2 y_3 y_4 \\ - \alpha_0 \sum_{12} \alpha_1^3 y_2^3 + \alpha_0 \sum_{12} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2 y_1 y_2^2 - 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \sum_4 y_1^3 \\ + 2 \sum_{12} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 y_1^2 y_2 - 2 \sum_{12} \alpha_1^3 \alpha_2 y_1 y_3 y_4 + 2 \sum_4 \alpha_1^4 y_2 y_3 y_4 \}.$$

If we substitute the values of the polars in the first brace above the coefficient of $4(a\alpha)^3(ay)$ turns out to be four times the coefficient of $2\mu^2$ whence

$$8(a\alpha)^3(ay) + \mu^2 = 0.$$

(68) If α is any point of J_4 and if y is any point of the Kummer cone K (or

any point of the Kummer surface obtained from an S_3 section of K) the parametric equation of the normal hyperelliptic surface M_2^{18} in S_8 in terms of the parameter y is furnished by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}(v\bar{y}) &= 2(vy) \cdot (a\alpha)^3(ay) - (v\alpha) \cdot (a\alpha)^2(ay)^2, \\ (w\bar{z}) &= \sqrt{-8(a\alpha)^3(ay) \cdot K(w)}.\end{aligned}$$

The moduli $\alpha_0 : \alpha_1 : \dots : \alpha_4$ satisfy the single relation $(a\alpha)^4 = 0$; the parameters $y_0 : \dots : y_4$ satisfy the single relation $K = 0$. This parametric equation of M_2^{18} is invariant in form when the cogredient variables α , y , \bar{y} and the contragredient variables v are subjected to the operations of the Burkhardt G_{25920} if at the same time the contragredient variables \bar{z} , w are subjected to the corresponding operations of the isomorphic Maschke G_{51840} .

. It is to be noted that the above parametric equations are independent of factors of proportionality in the α 's and the y 's but are not independent of such a factor in the coefficients a of J_4 which is supposed to be taken with the definite numerical coefficients used throughout. [See Footnote, p. 363.]

The foregoing discussion has developed a number of *kleinian forms*, i. e., forms in the variables from S_3 and S_4 which are unaltered when these variables are transformed under the operations of the isomorphic modular groups. We shall denote such a form by $k(i; j; k; l)$ if i and j are the order and class in S_4 , k and l the order and class in S_3 . If the form contains more than one series of cogredient variables this will be indicated by giving in the proper space the orders in the various series. A first kleinian form can be obtained from the identical covariant (vy) in S_4 if y is replaced by the cogredient expressions (55) in z . It is

$$(69) \quad k_1(0; 1; 4; 0) = v_0 \cdot 6z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 + \dots + v_4 [z_4(z_1^3 + z_2^3 - z_3^3)].$$

The equation $k_1 = 0$ determines for given z the corresponding point α on J_4 ; for given v it determines a quartic of the system on W_{40} which if v touches J_4 is the Weddle J . If we operate with k_1 on J_4 we get the form k_2 in (57),

$$(70) \quad k_2(3; 0; 4; 0) = J.$$

The $K(w)$ of (67) is another kleinian form

$$\begin{aligned}(71) \quad k_3(\widehat{3, 3}; 0; 0; 2) &= K(w) = -w_1^2 \{ (\alpha_0^3 + 2\alpha_1^3) y_2 y_3 y_4 \\ &\quad - (y_0^3 + 2y_1^3) \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 - (\alpha_0^2 y_0 + 2\alpha_1^2 y_1) \sum_3 \alpha_2 y_3 y_4 \\ &\quad + (\alpha_0 y_0^2 + 2\alpha_1 y_1^2) \sum_3 y_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 + y_0 \alpha_1^2 \sum_3 \alpha_2 y_2^2 - \alpha_0 y_1^2 \sum_3 \alpha_2^2 y_2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_0 \alpha_1 y_1 \sum_3 \alpha_2 y_2^2 - 2\alpha_1 y_0 y_1 \sum_3 \alpha_2^2 y_2 + y_0 y_1^2 \sum_3 \alpha_2^3 \\ &\quad - \alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \sum_3 y_2^3 \} + \dots,\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{3, 3}$ indicates that k_3 is of degree 3 in the line coördinates $\widehat{\alpha y}$. For α on J_4 and y on K , $k_3 = 0$ is the equation of the node of the Weddle at the point z which corresponds to y . For α on J_4 and given w , $k_3 = 0$ is the equation of a cubic cone which touches K along a sextic 2-way cone which corresponds to the plane section of the Weddle.

If we operate (y on v) with k_3 upon the invariant $J_{0, 4}$ in S_4 we get

$$(72) \quad k_4(\widehat{3; 1: 0; 2}) = w_1^2 [18\{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 + 2\alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4\}v_0 - 3\{\alpha_0^3 + 2 \sum_4 \alpha_1^2\}v_1] + \dots$$

If again we operate (α on v) on $J_{0, 4}$ we get

$$(73) \quad k_5(\widehat{0; 1, 1: 0; 2}) = 216w_1^2\{v_0 v'_1 - v_1 v'_0\} + \dots$$

By reason of the self dual character of a collineation group we should expect to find a form $k_6(\widehat{1, 1; 0: 2; 0})$ dual to k_5 and the explicit equation of k_6 can be found as follows. Multiply the first five quadrics (51) respectively by $y_0, 2y_1, \dots, 2y_4$ and add. Then

$$(74) \quad (\alpha\alpha)(\alpha y)^3 + 2\left\{\sum_4 \pi_{01} z_1^2 + 2(\pi_{12} z_3 z_4 + \pi_{13} z_4 z_2 + \pi_{14} z_2 z_3 + \pi_{32} z_1 z_4 + \pi_{24} z_1 z_3 + \pi_{43} z_1 z_2)\right\} = 0.$$

Since y is a point on N_2^6 , $(\alpha\alpha)(\alpha y)^3 = 0$ and we take k_6 to be

$$(75) \quad k_6(\widehat{1, 1; 0: 2; 0}) = \sum_4 \pi_{01} z_1^2 + 2\pi_{12} z_3 z_4 + \dots + 2\pi_{43} z_1 z_2.$$

If α is on J_4 and y on N_2^6 (or on K since y occurs only in the combinations π_{ik}), $k_6 = 0$ is a quadric on the 6 nodes of J since it was formed from quadrics (54). Also it is a quadric with a node since its discriminant is K . The coördinates \bar{z} of the node are obtained from $K(w)$ and according to (68) \bar{z} is the point of W_2^4 which corresponds to y on N_2^6 . Hence

(76) *If M_2^{18} is determined by α on J_4 and if y and z are corresponding points on the doubly covered projections N_2^6 and W_2^4 then, for given y , $k_6 = 0$ is the quadric with node at z and on the six nodes of W_2^4 .*

On account of the 2 to 1 isomorphism between the Maschke and the Burkhardt group we cannot expect to find kleinian forms in y and z alone which are linear in z . But such forms which are quadratic in z can be found. If for example we operate (v on y) with k_4 upon J_4 we get a $k_7(6; 0: 0; 2)$ and the point equation of this quadric in w would be a form of the sort required. If two such forms, quadratic in z with coefficients containing y , are derived

Footnote. Parametric equations for the normal elliptic curves of orders 3, 4, 5 to (68) are found in Dr. B. I. Miller's dissertation; these Transactions, vol. 17 (1916), p. 259. The coördinates of a point of the curve are expressed in covariant form in terms of rational functions of the parameter of a point on a line and the radical of such a function. In the above equations the point on a line is replaced by the point on a Kummer surface.

their pencil will contain at least one and in general four quadric cones. One of these cones can be isolated by the solution of an accessory quartic equation and its node will be a "covariant point," i. e., a point z whose coördinates are functions of y and which undergoes the collineations of the Maschke group when the y 's are subjected to the operations of the Burkhardt group. By the use of such a point the form problem of the Burkhardt group can be solved in terms of a solution of the form problem of the Maschke group.*

We shall however attack the Burkhardt form problem directly and in the next paragraph indicate how it can be solved in the special case when $J_4 = 0$. In § 7 this restriction will be removed by using an accessory quartic equation.

6. SOLUTION OF THE SPECIAL BURKHARDT FORM PROBLEM

The form problem of the Burkhardt group when $J_4 = 0$ (referred to as the "special" Burkhardt form problem) reads as follows: Given the values of the absolute invariants $\lambda = J_{12}/J_6^2$, $\mu = J_{18}/J_6^3$, $\nu = J_{10}^3/J_6^5$ to find the ratios of the coördinates $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_4$ of a point on $J_4 = 0$ for which these invariants take the assigned values. The problem has 25920 solutions since the spreads $J_{12} - \lambda J_6^2, \dots, J_{10}^3 - \nu J_6^5 = 0$ meet $J_4 = 0$ in $4 \cdot 12 \cdot 18 \cdot 30 = 25920$ points.

We shall develop the solution of this problem under the following heads.

1°. A point α on $J_4 = 0$ determines a binary sextic (projective to the sextic similarly determined at any one of the set of points conjugate to α on J_4) which is the fundamental sextic of the hyperelliptic functions.

2°. The absolute invariants of this sextic are precisely the given λ, μ, ν . These will be identified with a known system.

3°. The equation of this sextic will be expressed in terms of these invariants by means of an accessory square root.

4°. With this explicitly given sextic, the hyperelliptic algebraic relation of genus two is determined. Assuming that the transcendental operations involved in the determination of the periods of a pair of integrals of the first kind have been effected, the coördinates $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_4$ are expressed by means of certain known series.

1°. From the equation (51) of the Kummer cone K determined at a point α of J_4 we see that the S_3 , $(a\alpha)^3(ay) = 0$, and the quadric, $(a\alpha)^2(ay)^2 = 0$, meet in a trope of K . The six double lines of K on this trope are on

$$(a\alpha)(ay)^3 = 0.$$

Hence the cubic, quadratic, and linear polars of α as to J_4 meet in six lines of a quadric cone in S_3 , the meet of $(a\alpha)^3(ay) = 0$ and $(a\alpha)^2(ay)^2 = 0$ which

* As to the difficulty of the reduction here indicated cf. BIII, p. 339*. The use of kleinian forms to determine covariant points is illustrated in the article: Coble, *Reduction of the sextic equation to the Valentiner form problem*, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 70 (1911), p. 337.

touch at α . The binary sextic

$$S = s_0 s^6 + 6s_1 s^5 + 15s_2 s^4 + \cdots + s_6$$

determined by these six lines on the cone is the fundamental sextic associated with K and therefore with the hyperelliptic theta functions used here.

2°. We have seen that the polar cubic spread $C \equiv (a\alpha)(ay)^3 = 0$, is on α of J_4 and has ten nodes on J_{10} . Through α there will be six lines which lie entirely on C , the six lines of 1°. The lines through α which touch C again are the lines of K . This spread C can be mapped from an S_3 by means of quadrics q_a, \cdots, q_f on five points p_1, \cdots, p_5 of S_3 where

$$(77) \quad C \equiv q_a^3 + \cdots + q_f^3, \quad q_a + \cdots + q_f \equiv 0.$$

If a sixth point p maps on the point $\alpha = \bar{q}_a, \cdots, \bar{q}_f$ of C then the section of C by the polar quadric $q_a^2 \bar{q}_a + \cdots + q_f^2 \bar{q}_f = 0$ is the map of a Weddle quartic in S_3 with nodes at p_1, \cdots, p_5, p . The section of C by the polar space $q_a \bar{q}_a^2 + \cdots + q_f \bar{q}_f^2 = 0$ is the map of a quadric cone in S_3 with node at p and on p_1, \cdots, p_5 . A convenient analytic representation for this is found in C1, § 1. The quadric cone meets the Weddle in the 5 lines from p to p_1, \cdots, p_5 and in the cubic curve on the six points. These six curves through p map into the six lines of 1° on α in S_4 and the directions on the quadric cone at p , which are the same as those on the Weddle at p , map into directions on $(a\alpha)^2(ay)^2 = (a\alpha)^3(ay) = 0$ about α . Hence the sextic S in S_4 is projective to the sextic in S_3 determined on the quadric cone with node at p by the six curves mentioned and therefore to the sextic determined on the cubic curve by the points p_1, \cdots, p_5, p (or to the sextic y_0, \cdots, y_4, ∞ of C1, § 1). The invariants of this sextic have been determined as symmetric functions of the q 's (C1, (7), (10)). Thus the problem before us is: Given a spread C which can be linearly transformed into the special form (77), and a point α on it which is transformed into $\bar{q}_a, \cdots, \bar{q}_f, (a)$ to determine those covariants of C which are transformed into the elementary symmetric functions q_2, \cdots, q_6 of the q 's, and (b) to find their values for the particular point $\alpha = q_a, \cdots, \bar{q}_f$.

2° (a). We have first of all $C = 3q_3$. We shall denote the discriminant of the polar quadric of C by H and the dual equation of this quadric in variables r_a, \cdots, r_f by B . If then we take account of the supernumerary coördinates

$$B = \begin{vmatrix} q_a & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & r_a \\ 0 & q_b & \cdots & 0 & 1 & r_b \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & q_f & 1 & r_f \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ r_a & r_b & \cdots & r_f & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$H = -q_5$$

and

$$B = \sum_{15} q_a q_b q_c q_d (r_e - r_f)^2.$$

If we operate with B on H we get

$$[B, H] = 2 \sum_{60} q_a^2 q_b^2 q_c^2 q_d = 2(q_3 q_4 - 3q_2 q_5).$$

If now we allow for a factor σ in the coefficients of C we have

$$C = 3\sigma q_3, \quad H = -\sigma^5 q_5,$$

$$[B, H] = 2\sigma^9 (q_3 q_4 - 3q_2 q_5) = 2(\sigma^8 q_4 C/3 + 3H \cdot \sigma^4 q_2).$$

From the latter syzygy we can determine $\sigma^8 q_4$ and $\sigma^4 q_2$.

If we operate with B^2 on H we get $-72q_6 q_3$, whence from

$$[B^2, H] = -72\sigma^{13} q_6 q_3 = -24\sigma^{12} q_6 \cdot C$$

we can determine $\sigma^{12} q_6$.

The term q_5 derived from H alone does not acquire the proper power of σ so it must be modified. The cubic spread in S_4 has an invariant A of degree 10 in the coefficients which can be obtained by forming according to the Clebsch principle from the invariant i_8 of the cubic surface in S_3 a contra-variant of C in S_4 of degree 8 and class 6 and by operating with this contra-variant on C^2 . For the above spread the invariant A takes a purely numerical value which we shall denote by a . Instead of using H alone we shall use

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{A} H = -\sigma^{10} q_5.$$

2° (b). We now identify the cubic spread C with the polar spread \bar{C} of α on J_4 , i. e.,

$$\bar{C} = \alpha_0 [y_0^3 + 2 \sum_4 y_i^3] + 6 \sum_4 \alpha_1 (y_0 y_1^2 + 2y_2 y_3 y_4)$$

$$\equiv \sigma (q_a + \cdots + q_f^3) \equiv C.$$

We calculate the covariants \bar{H} and \bar{B} and the invariant \bar{A} of \bar{C} and equate them to the corresponding forms of C taking account of the determinant δ of the transformation, and we identify similarly the results of corresponding operations. We shall want however not the covariants themselves but rather the values which they take at the point $y = \alpha$ so that this substitution can be made after the operations have been completed. Since also we have merely to identify certain numerical coefficients we shall use the special case when $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$ and set

$$\alpha_0^3 = t_0, \quad \alpha_1^3 = t_1, \quad \alpha_2^3 = t_2.$$

Then Burkhardt's invariants become

$$J_4(\alpha) = \alpha_0[t_0 + 8(t_1 + t_2)],$$

$$J_6(\alpha) = t_0^2 - 20t_0(t_1 + t_2) - 8(t_1^2 + t_2^2) + 80t_1 t_2,$$

$$J_{10}(\alpha) = \alpha_0 t_1 t_2 [-t_0 + (t_1 + t_2)],$$

$$J_{12}(\alpha) = t_1 t_2 [5t_0^2 - t_0(t_1 + t_2) - 4(t_1^2 + t_2^2) + 16t_1 t_2],$$

$$J_{18}(\alpha) = t_1^2 t_2^2 [-t_0^2 + 2t_0(t_1 + t_2) - (t_1^2 + t_2^2) + 2t_1 t_2].$$

By a straightforward calculation we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B} = & 16 \cdot 4 \{ 4t_1 t_2 \alpha_0^2 u_0^2 - 4t_1 t_2 \alpha_0 u_0 (\alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2) + t_2 (t_0 - t_2) \alpha_1^2 u_1^2 \\ & + t_1 (t_0 - t_1) \alpha_2^2 u_2^2 + 2t_1 t_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 u_1 u_2 + 2\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 (t_0 - t_1 - t_2) u_3 u_4 \}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H} = & 16 \{ -\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 y_0^3 (\alpha_1 y_2 + \alpha_2 y_1)^2 - y_0^2 \alpha_0^2 (\alpha_1 y_2 + \alpha_2 y_1)^3 + y_0 [2t_2 \alpha_1^2 y_4 \\ & + (4t_1 - t_0) \alpha_2^2 y_1^3 y_2 + 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (-t_0 + t_1 + t_2) y_1^2 y_2^2 + \alpha_1^2 (4t_2 - t_0) y_1 y_2^3 \\ & + 2\alpha_2^2 t_1 y_2^4] + 2\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 y_1^4 y_2 + 2\alpha_0 \alpha_2 (t_2 + 2t_1) y_1^3 y_2^2 \\ & + 2\alpha_0 \alpha_1 (t_1 + 2t_2) y_1^2 y_2^3 + 2\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 y_1 y_2^4 + y_3 y_4 [y_0^3 t_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \\ & + y_0^2 \alpha_0 (t_0 + 2t_1 + 2t_2) (\alpha_1 y_2 + \alpha_2 y_1) + y_0 \alpha_0^2 (t_0 + 2t_1 + 2t_2) y_1 y_2 \\ & - 4y_1^3 t_1 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 - 2y_1^2 y_2 \alpha_1^2 (t_0 + 2t_1 - 4t_2) - 2y_1 y_2^2 \alpha_2^2 (t_0 - 4t_1 + 2t_2) \\ & - 4y_2^3 t_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2] + \text{terms in } y_3^3 \text{ and } y_4^3 \}. \end{aligned}$$

We now find at once that $\bar{H}_{y=\alpha} = 16^2 J_{10}(\alpha)$, and $\bar{C}_{y=\alpha} = J_4(\alpha)$. If we operate with \bar{B} on \bar{H} and set $y = \alpha$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{B}, \bar{H}]_{y=\alpha} = & 16^3 \alpha_0 t_1 t_2 \{ 2t_0^3 - 6t_0^2 (t_1 + t_2) + 6t_0 (t_1^2 + t_2^2) + 90t_0 t_1 t_2 \\ & - 2(t_1^3 + t_2^3) - 30t_1 t_2 (t_1 + t_2) \} \\ = & 16^2 \cdot 4 \{ J_4(\alpha) \cdot J_{12}(\alpha) - 3J_6(\alpha) \cdot J_{10}(\alpha) \}. \end{aligned}$$

If we operate with \bar{B}^2 on \bar{H} and set $y = \alpha$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{B}^2, \bar{H}]_{y=\alpha} = & 16^4 \cdot 8 \cdot 3\alpha_0 t_1^2 t_2^2 \{ t_0^3 + 6t_0^2 (t_1 + t_2) - 15t_0 (t_1^2 + t_2^2) \\ & - 34t_0 t_1 t_2 + 8(t_1^3 + t_2^3) - 8t_1 t_2 (t_1 + t_2) \} \\ = & -16^4 \cdot 24 J_4(\alpha) \cdot J_{18}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The invariant \bar{A} of \bar{C} must be a numerical multiple of $J_{10}(\alpha)$. For its vanishing implies that the polar cubic can not be thrown into the form (77) and this is true only when the hyperelliptic spreads degenerate due to the vanishing of the discriminant of the underlying sextic S . But this discriminant is represented on $J_4(\alpha)$ by $J_{10}(\alpha)$.* We shall assume that

$$\frac{\bar{A}}{a} = \lambda J_{10}(\alpha)$$

and leave the so defined numerical constant λ undetermined.

* Cf. BIII, p. 337 (3) and p. 331 (2).

We now have after setting $\sigma = 1$ in the earlier formulæ the following relations

$$\bar{C}_{y=a} = J_4(\alpha) = 3q_3,$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\bar{A}}{a}} \bar{H}_{y=a} = \sqrt{\lambda J_{10}(\alpha)} \cdot 16^2 J_{10}(\alpha) = -\delta^5 q_5,$$

$$\bar{H}_{y=a} = 16^2 J_{10}(\alpha) = -\delta^2 q_5,$$

$$[\bar{B}, \bar{H}]_{y=a} = 16^2 \cdot 4 \{J_4(\alpha) \cdot J_{12}(\alpha) - 3J_6(\alpha) \cdot J_{10}(\alpha)\} = 2\delta^4 (q_3 q_4 - 3q_2 q_5)$$

$$[\bar{B}^2, \bar{H}]_{y=a} = -16^4 \cdot 24 J_4(\alpha) \cdot J_{18}(\alpha) = -24 \cdot 3\delta^6 q_3 q_6.$$

Hence

$$(78) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta^2 q_2 &= -2J_6, \\ \delta^4 q_4 &= 16 \cdot 6J_{12}, \\ \delta^6 q_6 &= 16^4 J_{18}, \\ \delta^5 q_5 &= -16^2 \sqrt{\lambda J_{10}^3}. \end{aligned}$$

From the two values of q_5 above we have $\delta = (\lambda J_{10}(\alpha))^{1/6}$. If we account for it by introducing a factor $1/\delta$ in the roots of the fundamental sextic S we have finally

(79) *The resolvent sextic Σ (C1, p. 317 (9)) of the fundamental sextic S is*

$$Q^6 - 2J_6 Q^4 + 16^2 \cdot 6J_{12} Q^2 + 16^2 \sqrt{\lambda J_{10}^3} Q + 16^4 J_{18} = 0;$$

and the invariants A, B, C, Δ (notation of C1, p. 317 (7)) of S are given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} -6J_6 &= 5A, & 16^2 \cdot 4 \cdot 3^3 J_{12} &= 5(6A^2 - 5^2 B), \\ 16^4 \cdot 2 \cdot 3^4 J_{18} &= 5(-6A^3 + 3 \cdot 5^2 A \cdot B + 2 \cdot 5^3 C), \\ 16^4 \cdot 3^5 \lambda J_{10}^3 &= \Delta = \Pi(s_i - s_k)^2. * \end{aligned}$$

3°. In order to exhibit an explicit sextic S we can make use of a typical representation of S .† The sextic has three quadratic covariants connected by an identical relation, $K_2 = 0$, of the second order whose coefficients are rational in A, B, C, Δ . The sextic S is then expressed as a form $S = K_3 = 0$

* I had first attempted to calculate these invariants by the following method. In BIII, p. 331, the sextic S is taken with one root at ∞ and the sum of the others zero. Then the coefficients g_2, \dots, g_6 are given in terms of $[H], \dots, [\psi]$ which on p. 331 (2) are expressed by means of $(f_{12}), \dots, (f_{40})$. Then in § 73, p. 336, the $J_6(\alpha)$ and $J_{12}(\alpha)$ for $J_4(\alpha) = 0$ are calculated in terms of the $(f_{12}), \dots, (f_{40})$. As expected $J_6(\alpha)$ furnished the self apolarity invariant of the sextic S but neither Burkhardt's value [(14), p. 339] of $J_{12}(\alpha)$ nor the different one which I obtained would furnish a second invariant of the sextic. This discrepancy and the one noted above may be due to a single error in the expression for J_{12} . An advantage of the method carried through here is that the invariants are identified at once with a known complete system.

† Gordan, *Invariantentheorie*, p. 302.

of order three in l, m, n with similar coefficients. Thus the sextic appears as the six points cut out on the conic $K_2 = 0$ by the cubic $K_3 = 0$. In order to introduce a parameter on K_2 an accessory square root is required. This may be for example the square root of the discriminant of the quadratic in m, n obtained by setting $l = 0$ in K_2 . When a point on the conic K_2 is obtained in terms of a parameter s then the cubic $K_3 = 0$ determines the sextic S . Thus we have

$$K_2 \equiv \begin{vmatrix} A_{ll} & A_{lm} & A_{ln} & l \\ A_{ml} & A_{mm} & A_{mn} & m \\ A_{nl} & A_{nm} & A_{nn} & n \\ l & m & n & 0 \end{vmatrix} = a_{11} l^2 + \cdots + 2a_{23} mn.$$

If we set

$$r = \sqrt{a_{23}^2 - a_{22} a_{33}} = \sqrt{-2R^2 A_{11}}; \quad f_2 = \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial m}, \quad f_3 = \frac{\partial K_2}{\partial n},$$

then we can solve the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} 2R^2 l &= s, \\ (-a_{23} + r)f_2 + a_{22}f_3 &= a_{22}s^2, \\ (-a_{23} - r)f_2 + a_{22}f_3 &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

for l, m, n as quadratics in s , and thereby obtain from K_3 the sextic S . The necessary formulas for explicit expressions in A, B, C, Δ are given by Gordan, pp. 288-90.

4°. From the algebraic relation $t = \sqrt{S}$ we assume that the periods $\omega_{i,1}, \omega_{i,2}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) of a pair of integrals of the first kind have been calculated.* From the known series for $X_{a\beta}$ † these quantities are calculated for $(u) \equiv 0$. Then formulæ (48) furnish the coördinates of a point y on J_{10} whose polar quadric as to J_4 has a double point at the required point α on J_4 .

7. SOLUTION OF THE GENERAL BURKHARDT FORM PROBLEM

We shall state the Burkhardt form problem as follows:‡ Given the numerical values of $J_4, J_6, J_{10}, J_{12}, J_{18}$ to find the ratios of the coördinates y for which these forms take the given values. If the ratios are found the actual coördinates can be obtained to within sign by using the numerical value of J_6/J_4 to determine the square of the factor of proportionality. The solution required can be given in terms of the solution of the special problem considered in § 6 by conventional methods.§ We shall employ the phrase “de-

* Various methods for this are reviewed in BI, § 45, p. 277. That of Wiltheiss, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 31, p. 141, would be more in line with the above account since it implies no separation of the roots of S .

† BII, p. 171 (17).

‡ Cf. BII, §§ 51-2, p. 214.

§ Cf. Klein, *Ikosæder*, II, 5, § 2, p. 241.

where $J_{\sigma ij}$ is a determinate polynomial and $\sigma_{ij} = 11\sigma + 6i - j - 30$. All the determinate polynomials that occur above are of even degree so that J_{45} can not appear. Of course if any of the degrees indicated are negative the corresponding terms do not appear.

To solve the given problem we first find from the numerical values of J_4, \dots, J_{18} the numerical values of the determinate polynomials in (80), (81), (82). Equation (80) is then solved and a value of the accessory irrationality λ obtained. With this value of λ and the given value of J_4 we find from (81) the values of \bar{J}_ρ . These are the known quantities in the special Burkhardt form problem and we find as in § 6 the covariant point α on J_4 such that $(v\alpha) = \lambda J_{1,1} + J_{7,1}$. The coördinates of α can be determined to within sign by the numerical value of $\bar{J}_{12}/\bar{J}_{10}$. With these coördinates α the linear forms $\bar{J}_{\sigma,1}$ of (82) can be determined to within sign. Since now the left members of (82) are completely known to within a change of sign throughout and the coefficients of $J_{\sigma,1}$ in the right members also are known we can solve the five linear equations for $J_{1,1}$ and therefore determine to within sign the coefficients y_0, \dots, y_4 of v_0, \dots, v_4 .

With this the above sketch of the processes involved in the determination of the lines on a cubic surface is complete. In addition to adjoining the square root of the discriminant of the surface we have introduced an accessory square root in § 6 and an accessory quartic irrationality in this paragraph. These are to be compared with the two accessory square roots required by the method of Klein which is based on the form problem of the z 's. The essential difference between the problem of the y 's and the problem of the z 's is that the latter implies an isolation of a root of the fundamental sextic* while the former does not. Much depends also on the way in which the transcendental operations are carried out. If for example we set the problem: Given the numerical values of J_6, \dots, J_{18} when $J_4 = 0$ to find the ratios of the coördinates of the 10·25920 points on J_{10} which are the nodes of the polar cubics of the 25920 points on J_4 ; then the algebraic adjunction of a solution of the special form problem would merely reduce the problem to the solution of an equation of degree 10 with a sextic resolvent. But if we proceed to effect the solution of the special form problem as in § 6 by the adjunction of an accessory square root then after the periods of a pair of integrals have been obtained the required points are found by substituting the 10 even half periods (including the zero half period in the transcendental expressions for the y 's). We may note also that the closing remarks in § 5 indicate that a quartic irrationality may be unavoidable in effecting the solution of the problem of the y 's in terms of the problem of the z 's.

* Cf. BIII, §§ 66, 68, p. 327.

8. COMPARISON WITH THE QUINTIC EQUATION

Many of the ideas in this series of articles had their origin in an earlier paper entitled "An application of the form problems associated with certain Cremona groups to the solution of equations of higher degree."* This paper contained a detailed application to the quintic and it may be of interest to trace the striking analogy between the problem of the quintic as there set forth and the problem of the determination of the lines on a cubic surface as presented in this article.

The quintic with ordered roots determined an ordered P_5^2 , four of whose points were taken at a base in S_2 , and the coördinates x, y, u † of the remaining point determined in turn the ordered quintic. The permutations of the roots of the quintic led to the operations of a Cremona $G_{5!}$ in x, y, u whose invariants were the invariants of the quintic itself. This corresponds to the content of our present § 1 except that here the cubic surface determines 72 ordered P_6^2 's and the Cremona group is the extended group $G_{6, 2}$ of order $72 \cdot 6!$ rather than $G_{6!}$. The transition from the quintic equation to the solution of the form problem of $G_{5!}$ was accomplished by a typical representation analogous to the process used in § 2. The simplest linear system of irrational invariants of the quintic of dimension 5 divided under the operations of the invariant even subgroup $G_{45!}$ into two skew linear systems of dimension 2 which experienced under $G_{45!}$ the linear transformations of Klein's contra-redient groups of the A 's and of the A'' 's. Precisely similar facts concerning $\Gamma_{6, 2}$ appear in (36) and (40) of § 3. The solution of the form problem of $G_{45!}$ was accomplished by the use of invariants linear in the A'' 's—the same device as is employed in § 4. Here the analogy ends since the solution of the problem of the A 's given in the earlier paper was effected by a special method.

If however we take into account the results of Miss Miller (*loc. cit.*, pp. 278–83) which furnish the analog of § 5, there would seem to be little doubt that a binary quartic could be attached to each point of the conic invariant under the group of the A 's—a quartic projective to that which determines the elliptic quintic in S_4 . Then developments (in which J_4 is replaced by the invariant conic) precisely parallel to those of §§ 6, 7 could be made and the analogy between the two given problems would persist throughout.

BALTIMORE,
July 28, 1916

* Coble, these *Transactions*, vol. 9 (1908), p. 396.

† As a matter of fact the use of a superfluous coördinate was more advantageous in that certain results of Clebsch could be utilized.